

Robotic Skeletons In Knee Rehabilitation: Enhancing Mobility Through Innovation

GayathriP^{1*}, PrabhuS², NithyashaliniK³, Priyanka. B⁴, Jayamani M⁵,
Dr. Prema Krishnan⁶

^{1*}Assistant Professor, Medical Surgical Nursing

²Associate Professor, Child Health Nursing,

³Nursing Tutor, Obstetrics and gynaecology Nursing,

⁴Nursing Tutor, Medical Surgical nursing,

⁵Nursing Tutor, Psychology,

^{6*} Principal, Department of Pediatric Nursing,

^{1,2 & 6} Shri Sathya Sai College of Nursing, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Chennai,
Tamil Nadu, India.

^{3,4 & 5} Vel Nursing College, Chennai, India

ABSTRACT

Robotic skeletons, or exoskeletons, represent a major innovation in modern rehabilitation, particularly for patients recovering from Total Knee Replacement (TKR) surgery. These wearable robotic devices assist in movement, reduce physical strain, and promote functional recovery by enabling controlled and repetitive therapeutic exercises. The technology is classified based on power source, body region supported, control mechanism, and application purpose, encompassing active, passive, upper limb, lower limb, and full-body systems. In knee rehabilitation, robotic exoskeletons enhance mobility, muscle strength, and range of motion through precise and adaptive motion assistance. Clinical evidence highlights their role in accelerating recovery, improving patient independence, and elevating overall treatment outcomes. However, challenges such as high cost, limited accessibility, and technological constraints remain barriers to widespread adoption. Future directions include integrating artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and Industry 4.0 technologies to enable personalized, data-driven, and immersive rehabilitation experiences. Overall, robotic-assisted rehabilitation demonstrates great potential in transforming conventional therapeutic practices and improving quality of life for individuals with orthopedic and neurological impairments.

KEYWORDS: Robotic exoskeleton, Knee rehabilitation, Total Knee Replacement, Mobility enhancement, Robotic-assisted therapy, Rehabilitation robotics

How to Cite: GayathriP, PrabhuS, NithyashaliniK, Priyanka. B, Jayamani M, Prema Krishnan., (2025) Robotic Skeletons In Knee Rehabilitation: Enhancing Mobility Through Innovation, Vascular and Endovascular Review, Vol.8, No.9s, 111--114.

INTRODUCTION

Robotic skeletons, also known as exoskeletons, are pivotal in the rehabilitation process after surgery, especially after Total Knee Replacement (TKR) surgery¹. These lightweight devices, which are controlled by cables, offer specific support to individuals suffering from knee disabilities, enabling customized rehabilitation exercises. By assisting with movement and alleviating the physical burden on patients, robotic skeletons enhance recovery results and foster independence. The incorporation of robotics into rehabilitation represents a groundbreaking method, combining technology with therapeutic techniques to elevate the overall standard of care².

OVERVIEW OF ROBOTIC EXOSKELETON TECHNOLOGY

Robotic Exoskeleton

A robotic exoskeleton is a wearable device that employs robotics to aid individuals in movement, especially in rehabilitation environments³. It holds significant value in knee rehabilitation as it can improve mobility, promote early mobilization, and assist in functional training for patients recovering from Total Knee Replacement (TKR) surgery⁴. It plays a crucial role in postoperative rehabilitation by helping patients regain movement and strength following orthopaedic procedures⁵. It is specifically designed to support individuals recovering from facilitating the movement of the upper limbs⁶. The significance of robotic exoskeletons lies in their capacity to enhance motor function, muscle strength, and overall mobility for individuals suffering from neurodegenerative disorders like Parkinson's disease and multiple sclerosis⁷.

Need for Robotic Exoskeleton

Robotic exoskeletons are recommended for patients who have undergone total knee arthroplasty (TKA) and require rehabilitation⁸. They may provide advantages to individuals who encounter difficulties in regaining function and mobility following surgery. Patients in need of early mobilization and functional training could especially benefit from the application of robotic exoskeletons⁹. The use of robotic exoskeletons is also advised for patients undergoing cardiac rehabilitation, particularly those recovering from open-heart surgery or a significant cardiac event¹⁰. Furthermore, robotic exoskeletons are recommended for individuals with neuromuscular disorders, including those suffering from spinal cord injuries, muscular dystrophy, and those in recovery from a stroke. These devices are effective in enhancing mobility and improving the overall quality of life for users. They are particularly advantageous for individuals who require rehabilitation and assistance with daily mobility¹¹. Robotic

exoskeletons are indicated for patients with neurological disorders such as stroke and spinal cord injury (SCI). These devices are beneficial for individuals aiming to enhance mobility, independence, and quality of life¹².

Different Types of Exoskeletons for Rehabilitation

Exoskeletons are robotic devices that can be worn, designed to assist or improve bodily movements. They are extensively utilized in rehabilitation to aid patients in recovering mobility and muscle strength following neurological or musculoskeletal injuries¹³.

1. Based on Power Source

a. Active (Powered) Exoskeletons Contain motors, batteries, or actuators that facilitate powered movement. These devices are controlled via sensors that detect muscle activity or the user's intent. They are commonly utilized for individuals with spinal cord injuries, strokes, or lower limb paralysis. Examples include ReWalk and HAL (Hybrid Assistive Limb). Advantages: Offers significant movement assistance & Aids in gait retraining and intensive therapy. Disadvantages: High cost and weight & Necessitates frequent charging and maintenance¹⁴.

b. Passive Exoskeletons These do not incorporate motors or batteries. Instead, they utilize springs, elastic bands, or mechanical joints to assist movement. They help alleviate strain on muscles and joints during rehabilitation or work. For instance, shoulder or back-support exoskeletons are employed in physiotherapy. Advantages: Lightweight and cost-effective, Easy to use and maintain. Disadvantages: Offers limited movement assistance, Less effective for cases of complete paralysis¹⁵.

2. Based on Body Region Supported

a. Upper Limb Exoskeletons - Support movements of the shoulder, arm, and hand. These devices are utilized in the rehabilitation of conditions such as stroke, cerebral palsy, or upper limb weakness. Examples include Armeo Spring, Myo Pro, and Exo Arm¹⁶. b. Lower Limb Exoskeletons - Facilitate movements of the hip, knee, and ankle. These are employed in the rehabilitation of spinal cord injuries, multiple sclerosis, or post-surgical recovery. Examples include Ek NR, Indego, and ReWalk¹⁷. c. Full-Body Exoskeletons - Encompasses both upper and lower limbs while providing torso support. This type of exoskeleton offers comprehensive training for gait and balance. Examples include HAL Full-Body and Phoenix Suit¹⁸.

3. Based on Control Mechanism

a. Manual-Controlled Exoskeletons - Operated by therapists manually or via predetermined movement patterns¹⁹. b. Sensor-Controlled Exoskeletons - Utilize EMG (electromyography) or EEG (brain-computer interface) signals to identify the user's movement intentions²⁰. c. AI/Smart Exoskeletons - Equipped with artificial intelligence for adaptive learning, motion forecasting, and tailored rehabilitation²¹.

4. Based on Application Purpose

a. Medical/Rehabilitation Exoskeletons - Utilized in medical facilities and rehabilitation centers to aid in the restoration of movement for patients suffering from neurological disorders²². b. Assistive Exoskeletons - Created for everyday use by individuals with disabilities to improve mobility and foster independence²³. c. Industrial Exoskeletons - Assist workers in minimizing fatigue and preventing injuries while lifting or performing repetitive tasks, primarily focusing on prevention rather than rehabilitation²⁴.

Mechanisms of Mobility Enhancement

Robotic devices utilized in knee rehabilitation, including exoskeletons and robotic-assisted therapy systems, promote early mobilization and functional training, which can result in quicker recovery and enhanced joint function for patients²⁵. Robotics improves mobility through the implementation of exoskeletons and motion-capture systems, specifically designed to optimize the recovery of strength and mobility in patients undergoing rehabilitation following orthopedic procedures²⁶. The robotic exoskeleton features 12 degrees of freedom (DOFs), offering 6 DOFs for each arm, which facilitates a broad range of motion and flexibility. This symmetrical design improves patient mobility by allowing them to engage in various rehabilitation exercises that replicate natural arm movements, thus enhancing their overall physical function and joint range of motion²⁷. Exoskeletal systems currently represent the most evidence-supported technology for improving mobility, especially in gait and stance rehabilitation for paraplegic patients. These systems are also beneficial in the rehabilitation of hip joint fractures and in the care of endo prosthetic patients, showcasing their versatility in enhancing mobility²⁸.

Clinical Benefits and Patient Outcomes

The benefits of robotic-assisted rehabilitation (RAR) in knee recovery encompass an improved range of motion, increased muscle strength, enhanced functional performance, and greater patient satisfaction²⁹. The integration of robotics into orthopaedic healthcare offers advantages such as heightened precision in surgical procedures through advanced imaging and real-time feedback, which reduces tissue disruption and facilitates quicker recovery. Robotics enables the creation of personalized treatment plans, customizing procedures to fit individual anatomical features, thus enhancing outcomes and minimizing complications. Additionally, robotics contributes to postoperative rehabilitation by employing exoskeletons and motion-capture systems to maximize mobility and strength recovery³⁰.

Challenges and Limitations

The article highlights the obstacles present in the domain of rehabilitation robotics, particularly the insufficient funding for research initiatives. It points out a reliance on foreign technologies, which may hinder local innovation and development³¹. The context provided does not specifically address any challenges or constraints associated with the design and control of mechatronic

exoskeletons intended for rehabilitation purposes. It emphasizes the progress, design factors, and potential advantages of mechatronic exoskeletons, yet it does not elaborate on particular challenges or limitations faced during the research process³². The restricted access to robotic systems represents a considerable hurdle for broad implementation. Tailoring robotic therapies to cater to the unique needs of individual patients is crucial, although achieving this can be quite challenging. Technical, economic, social, and cultural obstacles must be overcome to enable the effective integration of robotic technologies in rehabilitation³³. Preliminary studies may encounter difficulties in accurately assessing biomechanical parameters during various activities, such as walking and climbing stairs³⁴.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN ROBOTIC REHABILITATION

Future studies should aim to validate the efficacy of exoskeletons in improving rehabilitation outcomes following total knee replacement (TKR), with a specific emphasis on enhancing both active and passive range of motion, as well as the overall quality of life for TKR patients³⁵. Additionally, future investigations may concentrate on further optimizing the control systems and personalized movement algorithms within the exoskeletons, potentially incorporating more sophisticated virtual reality environments to develop immersive rehabilitation experiences that can adjust to the unique needs and progress of each patient³⁶. There exists the possibility for the creation of customized implants and telesurgery, which could transform the approach to rehabilitation, enabling more individualized recovery plans and remote tracking of patient advancement³⁷. The incorporation of Industry 4.0 concepts, including autonomous machinery, additive manufacturing, and virtual environment simulation, indicates a prospective emphasis on developing more flexible and efficient rehabilitation robots that can more effectively address the requirements of patients and healthcare professionals through cutting-edge technology³⁸.

CONCLUSION

The review concludes that robotic-assisted rehabilitation (RAR) could serve as an effective treatment option for patients who are undergoing total knee replacement (TKR). It emphasizes the possible advantages of robotic devices in enhancing range of motion, muscle strength, functional performance, and patient satisfaction³⁹. Rehabilitation robotics, especially robotic exoskeletons, significantly contribute to improving mobility for patients in surgical rehabilitation. These technologies provide innovative solutions that can enhance motor function, muscle strength, and overall mobility in individuals suffering from neurodegenerative disorders. The incorporation of adaptive control strategies along with real-time bio signal processing facilitates personalized therapeutic interventions⁴⁰.

REFERENCE:

1. Upadhyay, N., Sharma, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). The Use of Robotic Devices in Knee Rehabilitation: A Literature Review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.
2. Viswam, S. D., Mohan, S., & Suneesh, S. S. (2024). *Next-Gen Robotic Knee Rehabilitation Exoskeleton Technology*. 1–6.
3. Pan, H. H., & Lin, C. H. (2024). Robotic exoskeletons and total knee arthroplasty: The future of knee rehabilitation and replacement – A meta-analysis. *Medicine*, 103.
4. Upadhyay, N., Sharma, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). The Use of Robotic Devices in Knee Rehabilitation: A Literature Review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.
5. .Revolutionizing Orthopedic Healthcare: The Role of Robotics. (2023). *Cureus*.
6. Mocan, B., Schonstein, C., Murar, M., Neamtu, C., Fulea, M., Mocan, M., Dragan, S., & Feier, H. (2023). Upper-Limb Robotic Exoskeleton for Early Cardiac Rehabilitation Following an Open-Heart Surgery—Mathematical Modelling and Empirical Validation. *Mathematics*, 11(7), 1598.
7. Akilan, A., & Krishna, S. (2025). *Rehabilitation Robotics in Adaptive Systems for Patient Recovery*. 285–319.
8. Pan, H. H., & Lin, C. H. (2024). Robotic exoskeletons and total knee arthroplasty: The future of knee rehabilitation and replacement – A meta-analysis. *Medicine*, 103.
9. Upadhyay, N., Sharma, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). The Use of Robotic Devices in Knee Rehabilitation: A Literature Review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.
10. Mocan, B., Schonstein, C., Murar, M., Neamtu, C., Fulea, M., Mocan, M., Dragan, S., & Feier, H. (2023). Upper-Limb Robotic Exoskeleton for Early Cardiac Rehabilitation Following an Open-Heart Surgery—Mathematical Modelling and Empirical Validation. *Mathematics*, 11(7), 1598.
11. Baysal, Z. (2024). Wearable Robotic Exoskeletons for Assisted Mobility: Enhancing Rehabilitation and Quality of Life for Neuromuscular Disorders. *Next Frontier.*, 8(1), 159.
12. Low, J. E., Ng, N., & Wong, R. (2025). *Exoskeletons in neurological rehabilitation: a commentary on current evidence and future directions*. 4(3), 129–137.
13. Pan, H. H., & Lin, C. H. (2024). Robotic exoskeletons and total knee arthroplasty: The future of knee rehabilitation and replacement – A meta-analysis. *Medicine*, 103.
14. Mocan, B., Schonstein, C., Murar, M., Neamtu, C., Fulea, M., Mocan, M., Dragan, S., & Feier, H. (2023). Upper-Limb Robotic Exoskeleton for Early Cardiac Rehabilitation Following an Open-Heart Surgery—Mathematical Modelling and Empirical Validation. *Mathematics*, 11(7), 1598.
15. Akilan, A., & Krishna, S. (2025). *Rehabilitation Robotics in Adaptive Systems for Patient Recovery*. 285–319.
16. Mocan, B., Schonstein, C., Murar, M., Neamtu, C., Fulea, M., Mocan, M., Dragan, S., & Feier, H. (2023). Upper-Limb Robotic Exoskeleton for Early Cardiac Rehabilitation Following an Open-Heart Surgery—Mathematical Modelling and Empirical Validation. *Mathematics*, 11(7), 1598.
17. Otálora, S., Moreno, H. A., Múnera, M., & Cifuentes, C. A. (2024). *Variable Stiffness Hip Joint for Lower-Limb Exoskeletons*. 339–344.

18. Banyai, A. D., & Brişan, C. (2024). *Robotics in Physical Rehabilitation - Review*.
19. Upadhyay, N., Sharma, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). The Use of Robotic Devices in Knee Rehabilitation: A Literature Review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.
20. Srivastava, N. (n.d.). *Design and Control of a Mechatronic Exoskeleton for Rehabilitation Purposes*.
21. Almagherbeh, W. T., Alias Usha, S. G., & Vidhya, R. (2025). *AI Powered Robotic Surgery and Smart Prosthetics for Precision Medical Interventions and Rehabilitation Solutions*. 293–320.
22. Zhang, X., Rong, X., & Luo, H. (2024). Optimizing lower limb rehabilitation: the intersection of machine learning and rehabilitative robotics. *Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences*.
23. Bodo, G., De Angelis, A., Taglione, E., Capitta, G., De Guglielmo, L., Buccelli, S., & Laffranchi, M. (2024). *Human Exoskeleton Interfaces and Precision Robotic-Human Joint Alignment for Enhanced Upper Limb Rehabilitation*. 656–661.
24. Auarmorn, Y., Yodpijit, N., & Jongprasithporn, M. (2018). *Designing and Creating a Prototype of Robotic Skeleton Systems for Computerized Lower Limb Prosthesis* (pp. 887–898). Springer, Cham.
25. Upadhyay, N., Sharma, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). The Use of Robotic Devices in Knee Rehabilitation: A Literature Review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.
Revolutionizing Orthopedic Healthcare: The Role of Robotics. (2023). *Cureus*.
26. Mocan, B., Schonstein, C., Murar, M., Neamtu, C., Fulea, M., Mocan, M., Dragan, S., & Feier, H. (2023). Upper-Limb Robotic Exoskeleton for Early Cardiac Rehabilitation Following an Open-Heart Surgery—Mathematical Modelling and Empirical Validation. *Mathematics*, 11(7), 1598.
27. Kruppa, C., Benner, S., Brinkemper, A., Aach, M., Reimertz, C., & Schildhauer, T. A. (2022). Neue Technologien und Robotik. *Die Unfallchirurgie*, 126(1), 9–18.
28. Upadhyay, N., Sharma, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). The Use of Robotic Devices in Knee Rehabilitation: A Literature Review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.
29. Revolutionizing Orthopedic Healthcare: The Role of Robotics. (2023). *Cureus*.
30. Ramirez, A., Ávila-Vilchis, J. C., & Vilchis-González, A. H. (2025). Desafíos y avances en la robótica de rehabilitación: un enfoque en la inclusión y la innovación. *Sociedad & Tecnología*, 8(S1), 18–38.
31. Srivastava, N. (n.d.). *Design and Control of a Mechatronic Exoskeleton for Rehabilitation Purposes*.
32. Banyai, A. D., & Brişan, C. (2024). *Robotics in Physical Rehabilitation: Systematic Review*. 12.
33. Auarmorn, Y., Yodpijit, N., & Jongprasithporn, M. (2018). *Designing and Creating a Prototype of Robotic Skeleton Systems for Computerized Lower Limb Prosthesis* (pp. 887–898). Springer, Cham.
34. Pan, H. H., & Lin, C. H. (2024). Robotic exoskeletons and total knee arthroplasty: The future of knee rehabilitation and replacement – A meta-analysis. *Medicine*, 103. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000037876>
35. Viswam, S. D., Mohan, S., & Suneesh, S. S. (2024). *Next-Gen Robotic Knee Rehabilitation Exoskeleton Technology*. 1–6.
36. Hu, R., Longo, U. G., Pittman, J. L., & Nazarian, A. (2025). Robotic Innovations in Orthopedics: A Growing Landscape, Challenges, and Implications for Care. *Osteology*, 5(2), 13.
37. Sosa Méndez, D., García Cena, C. E., Bedolla-Martínez, D., & Martín González, A. (2024). *Innovative Metaheuristic Optimization Approach with a Bi-Triad for Rehabilitation Exoskeletons*.
38. Upadhyay, N., Sharma, P., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). The Use of Robotic Devices in Knee Rehabilitation: A Literature Review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.
39. Akilan, A., & Krishna, S. (2025). *Rehabilitation Robotics in Adaptive Systems for Patient Recovery*. 285–319.