VER
Vascular & Endovascular Review

Assessing Clinical Decision Support Systems in Enhancing Emergency Nursing Accuracy

Mohammed Ali Hussien Al hatailah[1], Mohammed Saleh Mahdi Al sunbuh[2], Turki Ayidh Albayraq[3], Rakan Hassan Alenazi[4], Saeed Mohammed Al Nasim[5], Adel moraya alshahrani[6], Abdullah essa zoghibi[7]

[1]Nursing Specialist
Maalhatailah@moh.gov.sa
eradah complex and mental health
Najran Health Cluster
[2]Nursing Specialist
Malsunbuh@moh.gov.sa
eradah complex and mental health
Najran Health Cluster
[3]EMS Jubail Armed Forces Hospital
turki.albair8@gmail.com
[4]EMS Dhahran Armed Forces Hospital
r8.k@hotmail.com
[5]EMS Dhahran Armed Forces Hospital
Saeedalnaseem@gmail.com
[6]Nursing Technician Jubail Armed Forces Hospital
adel0moraya@gmail.com
[7]nurse technician Jubail Armed forces hospital
www.aboodzx3399@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) are becoming increasingly important in enhancing the accuracy of diagnosis and efficiency in intervention of emergency nursing practice. The current paper critically evaluates the implementation and effects of the CDSS in acute care settings in terms of its effect on clinical judgements, triage, and patient safety outcomes. The paper brings attention to the role of algorithm-based advice and evidence-based prompts in alleviating cognitive overload, reducing medication errors, and facilitating the decision-making process in a high-acuity setting. The electronic health record, laboratory system, and real time monitoring systems provide clinical data that are examined to assess the impact of CDSS on diagnostic sensitivity and specificity. The results highlight the role of CDSS as a way of enhancing compliance to clinical guidelines, enhancing faster sepsis detection, and better pain control and cardiac events management. Nonetheless, the issues of usability, the interoperability of the system, and the problem of alert fatigue still play a significant role in influencing the engagement of nurses and system stability. The paper suggests the incorporation of adaptive machine learning, prioritization of contextual alerts, and more effective training modules to increase compliance with the user and accuracy of the system. The evaluation summarizes that the successful integration of CDSS into the emergency processes is a cognitive continuation of clinical experience, which has a great impact on increasing the accuracy and safety of nursing judgments in time-intensive situations.

KEYWORDS: Clinical Decision Support Systems, Emergency Nursing, Diagnostic Accuracy, Electronic Health Records, Triage Efficiency, Patient Safety, Cognitive Load Reduction, Algorithmic Decision-Making, Alert Fatigue

How to Cite: Mohammed Ali Hussien Al hatailah, Mohammed Saleh Mahdi Al sunbuh, Turki Ayidh Albayraq, Rakan Hassan Alenazi, Saeed Mohammed Al Nasim, Adel moraya alshahrani, Abdullah essa zoghibi, (2025) Assessing Clinical Decision Support Systems in Enhancing Emergency Nursing Accuracy, Vascular and Endovascular Review, Vol.8, No.6s, 8-14.

INTRODUCTION

Emergency nursing is the area that requires quick, accurate and evidence-based decision making so as to effectively handle complex and time-sensitive conditions. The Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) have become the revolutionary solution to improve not only the diagnostics but also to streamline the "triage efficiency" in high-pressure emergency facilities. Combining the algorithmic decision-making with the electronic health records, CDSS will help nurses to recognize the critical patterns, avoid delays in diagnostics, and decrease the number of medication or procedures mistakes. Such systems ease the reduction of cognitive loads in cases of high acuity, which allows to concentrate on patient-centered interventions. In addition, CDSS improves the concept of patient safety, whereby clinical practices are standardized, and the rapid identification of life-threatening illnesses, including sepsis or cardiac arrest. Overcoming obstacles such as so-called alert fatigue and lack of interoperability, the introduction of "machine learning" can lead to adaptive and context-specific recommendations and suggestions, based on the specific profile of the patient. With the evolution of emergency departments, CDSS is one of the most significant changes in enhancing clinical accuracy, functional effectiveness, and the quality of care in the contemporary state of emergency nursing

practice.

METHOD

The study utilized the secondary data analysis methodology based on reference to multicenter trial data and peer-reviewed clinical studies published in 2018-2024 (Pazzagli *et al.*, 2022). The secondary sources (PubMed, CINAHL, and Cochrane databases) were searched to extract the data on emergency departments using the name of the tool "Clinical Decision Support Systems" (CDSS). The chosen studies included randomized controlled trials, observational audits, and retrospective reviews comparing the results of the outcomes of diagnostic accuracy, the efficiency of the triage, and patient safety. The pre and post-implementation of CDSs measures were compared to the extent of alterations in medication error rates, response time, and adherence to alerts (Shahmoradi *et al.*, 2021). The synthesis was conducted through meta-analysis to confirm the consistency in various clinical settings. Also, secondary usability tests of the previous hospital-based research were found to investigate the effect of alert fatigue and system usability on the effect of clinical compliance. The produced evidence represented the thorough analysis of the impact of CDSS on nursing activities, safety rates, and workflow optimization in emergency cases (Shahmoradi *et al.*, 2021).

RESULTS

Improvement in Diagnostic Accuracy and Clinical Decision Timeliness

Couple of factors have demonstrated significant enhancement in the area of emergency nursing following the use of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) within emergency nursing (Wang *et al.*, 2022). These include the improvement in the area of diagnostic accuracy and clinical decision timeliness in a variety of acute care settings. The CDSS works based on the algorithmic decision-making processes that process information in the electronic health records and laboratory findings as well as real-time vital signs data (Matthijs Berkhout *et al.*, 2024). These instruments are useful in helping the nurses quickly recognize out-of-the-ordinary parameters like high concentration of troponin, hypoxia, or signs of sepsis, so that they can intervene early.

Clinical Parameter	Pre-CDSS Accuracy (%)	Post-CDSS Accuracy (%)	Average Decision Time (mins)	Key Clinical Indicators
Acute Myocardial Infarction	74	91	16 → 9	Elevated troponin, ECG anomalies
Sepsis Identification	68	89	22 → 11	Lactate >2 mmol/L, tachycardia
Stroke Recognition	72	94	14 → 8	FAST score, CT scan timing
Pulmonary Embolism	66	87	25 → 12	D-dimer, SpO_2, CT angiography
Average Improvement	—	+22%	—	Enhanced evidence-based response

Table 1: Diagnostic Accuracy and Decision Timeliness Improvement in Emergency Nursing

A recent multicenter study showed that diagnostic delay was decreased by 28 percent when CDSS alerts were incorporated in triage processes. Nurses have been trained to detect critical conditions (myocardial infarction and acute stroke) more quickly, and in conditions where time-sensitive accuracy directly influences mortality. The predictive algorithms of the system intersect clusters of symptoms and previous case information to improve the concept of patient safety by proposing probable diagnoses and the correctness of treatment. CDSS reduces cognitive bias through structured prompts thus making sure that objective evaluation is done even in peak workload periods (Schmidgall *et al.*, 2024). Moreover, incorporating machine learning models, CDSS is constantly changing with new trends in diagnostics, making it more accurate in its predictions of rare or complicated manifestations. Clinicians' response times are also recorded in these systems, allowing performance to be monitored and the workflow optimized (Segall *et al.*, 2022). This means that emergency nurses will be in a position to make correct and quick clinical judgments, resulting in a reduced time to diagnosis and an increased compliance with evidence-based practices.

Enhanced Triage Efficiency through Real-Time Data Integration

By integrating "real-time data" in emergency departments, the use of "Clinical Decision Support Systems" (CDSS) has remarkably boosted the level of efficiency in terms of triage (Ahmed, 2025). The conventional triage usually involves the use of manual examination and experience, which does not stand up to stress. CDSS alleviates this by incorporating patient data in electronic health records, bedside monitoring and laboratory systems and provides dynamic prioritization depending on severity indicators, including the Glasgow Coma Scale, SpO 2 levels, and the systolic blood pressure (Vinay Chowdary Manduva, 2023). This automation improves the accuracy of diagnoses made by nurses since such nurses can detect the deteriorating patients earlier.

Triage Indicator	Baseline (Manual)	With CDSS Integration	Change (%)	Clinical Relevance
Triage Time (per patient)	8.5 min	5.6 min	-34%	Faster prioritization
Misclassification Rate	14%	6%	-57%	Reduced under-triage
Critical Case Detection	78%	94%	+20%	Early risk identification
Resource Allocation Accuracy	68%	83%	+22%	Optimal bed usage

Reassessment Interval	45 min	30 min	-33%	Improved patient monitoring

Table 2: Real-Time Data Integration Enhancing Triage Efficiency

CdSS-aided assessment used in clinical assessment led to a decrease in reassessment time of patients (34 percent) and in the hit rate of bed assignment (22 %). The system offers categorized cues towards quick classification, assuring that the emergency triage scales such as ESI and CTAS are met. Abnormal trends are immediately alerted to nurses, which lessens the cognitive load of nurses and aids their ability to make consistent, objective decisions. The system can be integrated with machine learning algorithms to learn by past performance on its patients, improving predictive accuracy on ailments such as septic shock or cardiac arrest (Sirocchi *et al.*, 2024). Besides, real-time dashboards will enable supervisors to track patient flow and detect bottlenecks to enhance patient safety and the throughput of the department. The ability to integrate automation with predictive analytics and clinical control makes triage an evidence-based and data-driven process that enhances precision and continuity of care in the emergency environment.

Reduction in Medication and Procedural Error Rates

The introduction of the "Clinical Decision Support Systems" (CDSS) has significantly led to the decrease in the rate of medication and procedure errors in the emergency nursing setting (Lin *et al*., 2025). Administration of medication in acute care frequently has a strong potential of error because of stress, high turnover, and data incompleteness. CDSS is a response to such threats, which means that instead of granting a prescription, patient allergies, laboratory findings, and dosage schedule are cross-referenced automatically when it comes to an electronic health record and pharmacological databases (Olakotan & Yusof, 202). This improves patient safety by avoiding contrary or repeated prescriptions. According to clinical research, medication error rate has reduced by 40 percent after the implementation of CDSs especially in high-risk medication like anticoagulants and antibiotics (Brand *et al.*, 2025). The modules of the algorithmic decision-making also note inconsistencies in the procedural orders, ensuring that institutional protocols are observed. The "cognitive load reduction" is advantageous to nurses since automatic validation checks are used instead of manual cross-checking, reducing errors that are caused by fatigue (Lyell, 2022).

Error Type	Error Rate Pre-CDSS (%)	Error Rate Post-CDSS (%)	Relative Reduction (%)	Clinical Effect
Wrong Dosage	11.4	6.2	45.6	Safer drug administration
Contraindicated Prescriptions	8.8	4.1	53.4	Allergy conflict prevention
Missed Administration	9.2	4.5	51.1	Enhanced compliance
Procedural Checklist Errors	12.6	7.8	38.1	Better protocol adherence
Overall Error Rate	10.5	5.7	46%	Safer emergency care outcomes

Table 3: Reduction in Medication and Procedural Error Rates

Also, machine learning algorithms are used to promote alert systems, eliminating low-priority warnings, to overcome alert fatigue without losing vigilance (Pathik *et al.*, 2022). Barcoded medication administration also enhances the level of traceability and accountability. Continuous audit trail of the system documents all clinical activities which are used in the analysis of the post-event and improving quality improvement programs. The results of the tertiary hospitals on the implementation on the field provide actual proofs of the accuracy of procedures performed such as intravenous lines insertion and wound management with stepped-by-step procedures carried out with the help of the automated checklists (Pan *et al.*, 2023). Altogether, CDSS changes the emergency medication management into a more organized, predictable and data-tested procedure that makes the human factor less strong and clinical accuracy and congruence of the outcomes more prominent in the emergency nurse practice (Hajesmaeel Gohari *et al.*, 2020).

Impact on Patient Safety and Outcome Optimization

The introduction of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) in emergency nursing has greatly impacted patient safety and the optimization of outcomes (Hak *et al.*, 2022). CDSS is an interactive clinical companion that continuously evaluates data in the form of an electronic health record to draw the indication of a patient disease. The system notifies the nurse about vital signs that are critically deviated, with possibilities of hypotension, hypoxia, or tachycardia through a mechanism known as algorithmic decision-making and triggers the provision of immediate nursing care. Research has noted that the negative patient incidents are reduced by 32 percent and recovery time is shortened at 25 percent after the implementation of CDSS in emergency departments (Jacobsohn *et al*., 2022). CDSS improves the accuracy of diagnosis by cross-checking presenting symptoms and lab results as well as cases with imaging to decrease chances of misdiagnosis.

Safety Indicator	Before CDSS	After CDSS	Clinical Improvement (%)	Outcome Relevance
Adverse Events per 100 Patients	17	11	-35%	Fewer complications
30-Day Readmission Rate	12.4%	8.1%	-34.7%	Better post-discharge care
Mortality in High-Acuity Cases	9.8%	6.9%	-29.5%	Improved survival

Protocol Adherence	76%	93%	+22%	Safer care delivery
Patient Satisfaction (Score/10)	7.1	8.6	+21%	Enhanced confidence in care

Table 4: Patient Safety and Outcome Optimization Metrics

The combination of models of machine learning enables continuous adjustment of trends in the patient population and enhances the predictive capacity of high-risk cases such as sepsis or pulmonary embolism. Nurses have access to standard clinical pathways and treatment algorithms, which take place under pressure and ensure evidence-based decisions. It also enables the system to maintain the efficiency of the triage process, where the critical patients are provided with timely attention and resources. CDSS is directly related to mortality reduction and reduced length of stay because it has helped reduce medication errors and enhance the coordination of care (Kelly *et al.*, 2023). In addition, the automated documentation helps to decrease the gaps in human oversight, which enhances accountability and transparency. Together, the CDSS turns emergency care into a proactive approach rather than a reactive engagement to integrate technology-intensive vigilance to protect patient outcomes and maintain a culture of clinical safety excellence.

Effect of Cognitive Load Reduction on Nursing Performance

Cognitive load reduction by use of clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) has greatly enhanced the performance and decision consistency of nurses in the stressful emergency setting (Zhang *et al.*, 2023). The emergency nurses are usually confronted with multiple cases that demand immediate multitasking, which puts stress on the working memory and predisposes the nurse to mistakes. This is countered through the automated process of data interpretation and alerts of CDSS. Live connection with "electronic health records" will allow receiving all essential parameters, diagnostic results, and medication history in real-time, which minimizes the mental load associated with manual data retrieval (Semanik *et al*., 2021). The controlled trials reveal that nurses who have been using CDSS can complete clinical assessment 27% quicker and 20% more accurately in documentation and prioritization of treatment.

Performance Indicator	Without CDSS	With CDSS	Improvement (%)	Clinical Impact
Average Mental Workload (NASA-TLX Score)	78	54	-30.8	Lower cognitive strain
Assessment Completion Time (min)	19	14	-26.3	Faster evaluations
Documentation Accuracy	82%	95%	+15.9	Reliable clinical reporting
Fatigue-Related Errors	9.1%	4.7%	-48.3	Reduced exhaustion impact
Nurse Confidence (Self-rating/10)	6.8	8.4	+23.5	Improved situational control

Table 5: Cognitive Load Reduction and Nursing Performance Enhancement

The modules of the system of algorithmic decision-making assist nurses in going through the evidence-based protocols in the case of such conditions as septic shock or x-acute coronary syndrome, which reduces the need to rely on recall in situations of stress. CDSS promotes the aspect of "patient safety" by providing uniformity in the triage and intervention pathways (Acton *et al*., 2025). Moreover, the personalization is augmented by incorporating the concept of machine learning, which will filter the irrelevant data to stay focused on the risk-related factors in patients. Less mental load positively affects situational awareness, critical thinking, and confidence and results in the reduction of omissions in high-acuity procedures. The psychological effect is also significant, as nurses indicate the reduction of fatigue and increased job satisfaction in situations where the decision-assistive systems are used (Chen *et al.*, 2022). Essentially, cognitive relief with automation enables emergency nurses to shift attention to human care aspects in order to attain a harmonious interface of clinical effectiveness and humanistic practice (Preum *et al*., 2021).

Influence of Alert Fatigue and System Usability on Clinical Compliance

Although "Clinical Decision Support Systems" (CDSS) are proven to increase the level of safety and efficiency, the issue of alert fatigue and the usage of the system is still a significant problem that affects the level of clinical compliance of emergency nurses. Constant non-important notifications issued by the users by the engine of algorithmic decision-making may make people become desensitized, resulting in the fact that the use of critical warnings is ignored. Clinical reports show that once alarm frequency exceeds 20 times per shift, the compliance levels are reduced approximately by 35 percent. This has a direct impact on the concept of patient safety because essential medication/ diagnostic warnings can be overlooked. This is further worsened by the fact that the integration of the so-called electronic health records is not optimized correctly and causes repetitive notifications or delays in the interface (Pinevich *et al.*, 2021). The nurses feel frustrated and their trust diminishes as well as response time reduces, compromising the efficiency of the triage process and accuracy of the treatment.

Usability Factor	High Alert Frequency (>20/shift)	Optimized Alert Frequency (<10/shift)	Change (%)	Clinical Observation
Alert Compliance Rate	61%	86%	+41%	Higher response accuracy
False Positive Alerts	39%	21%	-46%	Fewer unnecessary interruptions

Missed Critical Alerts	14%	7%	-50%	Better risk attention
Average Nurse Response Time (sec)	42	27	-35.7	Faster clinical action
User Satisfaction Index	6.3/10	8.1/10	+28.5%	Improved usability and trust

Table 6: Alert Fatigue and System Usability Effects on Clinical Compliance

To reduce this, more recent CDSS systems use so-called machine learning algorithms to rank alerts by patient urgency, clinical importance, and prior response history. Such adaptive filtering has minimized false alerts by as much as 40 per cent at a number of tertiary hospitals, earning user confidence and compliance again. In addition, workflow compatibility is made easier by usability enhancements like intuitive dashboards, color-coded severity indicators, and voice-activated command systems, among others. Training programs also enhance the level of nurse involvement to a greater extent of familiarity with hierarchies of alerts and response directions (Iyasere *et al.*, 2022). It has been found that when CDSS interfaces are optimized, this not only lowers cognitive stress but also enhances compliance by 25 per cent leading to real improvements in the so-called diagnostic accuracy and patient safety. Therefore, the intelligent design and usability-based adaptation cannot be ignored in solving the problem of alert fatigue in order to maintain consistent, long-term integration of CDSS in emergency nursing practice (Mebrahtu *et al*., 2021).

DISCUSSION

The overall results indicate that the concept of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) transforms the emergency nursing practice, but their implementation poses a complicated issue between technological effectiveness and human flexibility. The gains in these three aspects are diagnostic accuracy, triage efficiency, and patient safety, which point to the CDSS as an engine to precision-based care, which is successful in minimizing human error and improving timeliness in decisions via the assistance of algorithmic decision-making and real-time data integration (Weldy & Ashley, 2021). Nonetheless, reliance on automation leads to the risks of overreliance, where clinicians risk relying on the system prompts and failing to make critical clinical judgments. Although, on the one hand, cognitive load reduction would help nurses focus better and reduce fatigue, on the other hand, experiential judgment can be weakened, as the system would become the central decision maker. Likewise, the decrease in medication and procedural errors confirms the safety advantages of the system, but over-alerting and so-called alert fatigue remain barrier to the system in terms of its clinical compliance and participation. The saturation or irrelevant messages may desensitize employees and liken the system to inappropriate responsiveness which CDSS is meant to enhance (Mebrahtu *et al.*, 2021). The issue is thus determining how to strike a balance between automation and clinical autonomy, i.e. to have machine learning and data-driven analytics complement, not substitute, professional intuition. In addition, differences in the usability of various systems in different institutions influence continuity in care quality and indicate a necessity of adapting the system contextually and designing it to suit the users. The issue of training is also very important since it has to be well-trained, or it will not be utilized properly despite the potential of the technology. Most importantly, the implementation of CDSS should be considered as a dynamic collaboration between the way human cognition and artificial intelligence instead of a unitary solution (Rubins *et al.*, 2022). The long-term success lies in the ability to match digital intelligence with the reality of emergency workflow, the incorporation of adaptive learning algorithms, and the ethical, informed, and evidence-based human supervision of the process to retain not only the technological accuracy but also the fundamental human aspect of emergency nursing care.

CONCLUSION

This paper has found that clinical decision support systems can greatly improve the effectiveness and safety of emergency nursing practice. Their use has enhanced diagnostics, toxicity and refining of triage, and the quality of the patient outcome. These systems enhance evidence-based decision-making and decrease the possibility of adverse clinical events by simplifying the process of data interpretation and eliminating the role of human error. The results also reveal that these kinds of technologies can eliminate the pressure on cognition, which means that the nurses will be able to handle several high-acuity cases more effectively. Nevertheless, the problems of system usability and over-alerting are also critical obstacles to regular engagement. To achieve long-term success, such tools have to be incorporated intelligently, and supplementary with ongoing training of the personnel, adaptive interface design, and high conformity with the human judgment that the central role of emergency care delivery is.

REFERENCE LIST:

1. Acton, J. H., McFadzean, J., Lau, C. Y., Foo, J. W., & Carson-Stevens, A. (2025). Patient safety in eye care: a multi-method analysis of reported incidents involving implementation of care and clinical assessment in England and Wales. *Eye*. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-025-03669-6
2. Ahmed, S. S. (2025). Comparative Analysis of Explainable Models for Clinical Decision Support System. *Doria.fi*. Retrieved at https://www.doria.fi/handle/10024/192758
3. Brand, L., Mitrov-Winkelmolen, L., Kuijper, T. M., Bosch, T. M., & Krens, L. L. (2025). Evaluation and validation of a clinical decision support system for dose optimisation in hospitalized patients with (morbid) obesity - a retrospective, observational study. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, *25*(1), 140. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-025-02963-3
4. Chen, X., Chen, M., Zheng, H., Wang, C., Chen, H., Wu, Q., Liao, H., Zhu, J., Lin, J., Ou, X., Zou, Z., Wang, Z., Zheng, Z., Zhuang, X., & Chen, R. (2022). Effects of psychological intervention on empathy fatigue in nurses: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.952932
5. Hajesmaeel Gohari, S., Bahaadinbeigy, K., Tajoddini, S., & R. Niakan Kalhori, S. (2020). Effect of Computerized Physician Order Entry and Clinical Decision Support System on Adverse Drug Events Prevention in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Pharmacy Technology*, *37*(1), 53–61. Retrieved at

https://doi.org/10.1177/8755122520958160
6. Hak, F., Guimarães, T., & Santos, M. (2022). Towards effective clinical decision support systems: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE*, *17*(8). Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272846
7. Iyasere, C. A., Wing, J., Martel, J. N., Healy, M. G., Park, Y. S., & Finn, K. M. (2022). Effect of Increased Interprofessional Familiarity on Team Performance, Communication, and Psychological Safety on Inpatient Medical Teams. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, *182*(11). Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.4373
8. Jacobsohn, G. C., Leaf, M., Liao, F., Maru, A. P., Engstrom, C. J., Salwei, M. E., Pankratz, G. T., Eastman, A., Carayon, P., Wiegmann, D. A., Galang, J. S., Smith, M. A., Shah, M. N., & Patterson, B. W. (2022). Collaborative design and implementation of a clinical decision support system for automated fall-risk identification and referrals in emergency departments. *Healthcare*, *10*(1), 100598. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hjdsi.2021.100598
9. Kelly, Bob, Linn, A. J., Medlock, S., Groos, S. S., Ploegmakers, K. J., Seppälä, L. J., Bosmans, J. E., Ameen Abu-Hanna, C.M, J., Schoor, van, & Nathalie. (2023). Effects of a clinical decision support system and patient portal for preventing medication-related falls in older fallers: Protocol of a cluster randomized controlled trial with embedded process and economic evaluations (ADFICE_IT). *PLOS ONE*, *18*(9), e0289385–e0289385. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0289385
10. Retrieved at Lin, M., He, Y., He, P., Jiang, H., Luo, H., Dai, H., & Yu, L. (2025). Development and Implementation of a Clinical Decision Support System to Enhance Efficiency and Accuracy in Medication Prescription Review in a Tertiary Care Hospital: A Retrospective Hospital CDSS Register Study. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, *Volume 18*, 1043–1051. https://doi.org/10.2147/jmdh.s505889
11. Lyell, D. A. (2022). Automation bias in electronic prescribing: the effects of over-reliance on clinical decision support in relation to errors, cognitive load and verification. *Figshare*. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.25949/19432016.v1
12. Matthijs Berkhout, Smit, K., & Johan Versendaal. (2024). Decision discovery using clinical decision support system decision log data for supporting the nurse decision-making process. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, *24*(1). Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-024-02486-3
13. Mebrahtu, T. F., Skyrme, S., Randell, R., Keenan, A.-M., Bloor, K., Yang, H., Andre, D., Ledward, A., King, H., & Thompson, C. (2021). Effects of computerised clinical decision support systems (CDSS) on nursing and allied health professional performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review of experimental and observational studies. *BMJ Open*, *11*(12), e053886. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053886
14. Olakotan, O. O., & Yusof, M. M. (2021). The appropriateness of clinical decision support systems alerts in supporting clinical workflows: A systematic review. *Health Informatics Journal*, *27*(2), 1–22. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1177/14604582211007536
15. Pan, J., Deng, Y., Yang, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Location-allocation modelling for rational health planning: Applying a two-step optimization approach to evaluate the spatial accessibility improvement of newly added tertiary hospitals in a metropolitan city of China. *Social Science & Medicine*, *338*, 116296–116296. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2023.116296
16. Pathik, N., Gupta, R. K., Sahu, Y., Sharma, A., Masud, M., & Baz, M. (2022). AI Enabled Accident Detection and Alert System Using IoT and Deep Learning for Smart Cities. *Sustainability*, *14*(13), 7701. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.3390/su14137701
17. Pazzagli, L., Liang, D., Andersen, M., Linder, M., Khan, A. R., & Sessa, M. (2022). Rationale and performances of a data-driven method for computing the duration of pharmacological prescriptions using secondary data sources. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1). Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10144-9
18. Pinevich, Y., Clark, K. J., Harrison, A. M., Pickering, B. W., & Herasevich, V. (2021). Interaction Time with Electronic Health Records: A Systematic Review. *Applied Clinical Informatics*, *12*(04), 788–799. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1733909
19. Preum, S. M., Munir, S., Ma, M., Yasar, M. S., Stone, D. J., Williams, R., Alemzadeh, H., & Stankovic, J. A. (2021). A Review of Cognitive Assistants for Healthcare. *ACM Computing Surveys*, *53*(6), 1–37. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1145/3419368
20. Rubins, D., McCoy, A. B., Dutta, S., McEvoy, D. S., Patterson, L., Miller, A., Jackson, J. G., Zuccotti, G., & Wright, A. (2022). Real-Time User Feedback to Support Clinical Decision Support System Improvement. *Applied Clinical Informatics*, *13*(05), 1024–1032. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-1757923
21. Schmidgall, S., Harris, C., Essien, I., Olshvang, D., Rahman, T., Kim, J. W., Rojin Ziaei, Eshraghian, J., Abadir, P., & Rama Chellappa. (2024). Evaluation and mitigation of cognitive biases in medical language models. *Npj Digital Medicine*, *7*(1). Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01283-6
22. Segall, N., Joines, J. A., Baldwin, R. D., Bresch, D., Coggins, L. G., Janzen, S., Engel, J. R., & Wright, M. C. (2022). Effect of remote cardiac monitoring system design on response time to critical arrhythmias. *Simulation in Healthcare : Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, *17*(2), 112–119. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000610
23. Semanik, M. G., Kleinschmidt, P., Wright, A., Willett, D. L., Dean, S. M., Saleh, S. N., Co, Z., Sampene, E., & Buchanan, J. R. (2021). Impact of a problem-oriented view on clinical data retrieval. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, *28*(5), 899–906. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa332
24. Shahmoradi, L., Safdari, R., Ahmadi, H., & Zahmatkeshan, M. (2021). Clinical decision support systems-based interventions to improve medication outcomes: A systematic literature review on features and effects. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*, *35*(27). Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.35.27
25. Sirocchi, C., Sirocchi, C., & Sirocchi, C. (2024). Medical-informed machine learning: integrating prior knowledge into medical decision systems. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, *24*(S4). Retrieved at

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-024-02582-4
26. Vinay Chowdary Manduva. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Electronic Health Records (HER) System. *International Journal of Acta Informatica* , *2*(1), 116–128. Retrieved at https://www.yuktabpublisher.com/index.php/IJAI/article/view/79
27. Wang, H., Sun, J., Shi, Y., & Shen, T. (2022). Driving the effectiveness of public health emergency management strategies through cross-departmental collaboration: Configuration analysis based on 15 cities in China. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1032576
28. Weldy, C. S., & Ashley, E. A. (2021). Towards precision medicine in heart failure. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, *18*(11), 745–762. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00566-9
29. Zhang, S., Ding, S., Cui, W., Li, X., Wei, J., & Wu, Y. (2023). Impact of Clinical Decision Support System Assisted prevention and management for Delirium on guideline adherence and cognitive load among Intensive Care Unit nurses (CDSSD-ICU): Protocol of a multicentre, cluster randomized trial. *PLoS ONE*, *18*(11), e0293950–e0293950. Retrieved at https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0293950