VER
Vascular & Endovascular Review

Bridging Diagnostic Medicine and Social Care: Integrating Medical Laboratory Services with Social Work to Advance Patient-Centered Outcomes

Mohammed Almish, Elmoeiz Ali Elnagi Mohammed, Saeed Saleh Al-Wadai, Ahmed Mohamed Alahmadi, Mohammed Saad Ali AlAladhbaa, Mohammed Ali Mohammed Algarni, Mofareh Soliman Alharisi, Fahad Mashwal, Sattam Mohammed Al-Basili, Faisal Abdullah M Alamri

[1]Mohammed Almish[1] (Prince Sultan Military College of Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: Mohd.a@windowslive.com),
[2]Elmoeiz Ali Elnagi Mohammed[1] (Prince Sultan Military College of Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: elmoeiz@psmchs.edu.sa),
[3]Saeed Saleh Al-Wadai[2] (Third Mobile Field Hospital, Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia; Email: saeedhw@gmail.com),
[4]Ahmed Mohamed Alahmadi[3] (Prince Sultan Military College for Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: aalahmadi@psmchs.edu.sa),
[5]Mohammed Saad Ali AlAladhbaa[3] (Prince Sultan Military College for Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: adbani30@gmail.com),
[6]Mohammed Ali Mohammed Algarni[3] (Prince Sultan Military College for Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: algarni_vip55@hotmail.com),
[7]Mofareh Soliman Alharisi[3] (Prince Sultan Military College for Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: abumazeen1988@hotmail.com),
[8]Fahad Mashwal [4] (Prince Sultan Military College of Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: fmashwal@psmchs.edu.sa),
[9]Sattam Mohammed Al-Basili[4] (Prince Sultan Military College of Health Sciences, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: Salbasili@psmchs.edu.sa)
[10]Faisal Abdullah M Alamri; (Prince Sultan Military College for Health Sciences in Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; Email: Faalamri@psmchs.edu.sa

ABSTRACT

Medical laboratory services are fundamental to clinical decision-making; however, their effectiveness is frequently influenced by social determinants that affect patients' ability to access, understand, and act upon diagnostic information. This narrative review examines the integration of medical laboratory services with social work support as a patient-centered approach to improving health outcomes across diverse healthcare settings. A structured literature review was conducted across major scientific databases to identify studies published between 2010 and 2024 addressing laboratory-based care, social work interventions, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Evidence suggests that coordinated laboratory–social work models enhance patient understanding of laboratory results, reduce diagnostic-related anxiety, improve adherence to chronic disease monitoring, and strengthen continuity of care. Social work interventions were particularly effective in addressing barriers related to health literacy, financial constraints, transportation challenges, and psychosocial stress, thereby facilitating timely diagnostic follow-up and promoting equitable access to laboratory services. Interdisciplinary collaboration further supported improved communication among healthcare professionals and earlier detection of disease-related complications.

Overall, this review highlights the importance of integrating social work support within laboratory-centered care pathways to address social determinants of health and advance patient-centered outcomes. Healthcare systems are encouraged to adopt interdisciplinary frameworks that align diagnostic excellence with social support services to enhance the quality, equity, and effectiveness of care.

KEYWORDS: Medical laboratory services, social work, social determinants of health, patient-centered diagnostics, integrated care models, health literacy, chronic disease monitoring

How to Cite: Mohammed Almish, Elmoeiz Ali Elnagi Mohammed, Saeed Saleh Al-Wadai, Ahmed Mohamed Alahmadi, Mohammed Saad Ali AlAladhbaa, Mohammed Ali Mohammed Algarni, Mofareh Soliman Alharisi, Fahad Mashwal, Sattam Mohammed Al-Basili, Faisal Abdullah M Alamri, (2025) Bridging Diagnostic Medicine and Social Care: Integrating Medical Laboratory Services with Social Work to Advance Patient-Centered Outcomes, Vascular and Endovascular Review, Vol.8, No.3, 224-230

INTRODUCTION

Medical laboratory services serve as a cornerstone of modern healthcare, providing essential diagnostic data that guide clinical decision-making, treatment planning, and long-term disease management. It is estimated that more than 70% of clinical decisions

rely on laboratory results, underscoring the critical importance of diagnostic accuracy, reliability, and timely reporting in achieving optimal patient outcomes. However, the effectiveness of laboratory testing extends beyond analytical performance and is profoundly influenced by patient-related social, psychological, and environmental factors. Social determinants of health—such as limited health literacy, socioeconomic constraints, transportation difficulties, cultural beliefs, and psychosocial stress—frequently hinder patients' ability to access laboratory services, interpret test results, and adhere to recommended follow-up care.

Social workers play a vital role in addressing these challenges by bridging communication gaps between patients and healthcare providers, advocating for patient needs, and mitigating social barriers that may compromise diagnostic or therapeutic plans. Within healthcare systems, social workers support patients in navigating complex care pathways, provide emotional and psychosocial support during periods of diagnostic uncertainty, and facilitate adherence to monitoring schedules. Their involvement is particularly critical for individuals managing chronic conditions such as diabetes, renal disease, and autoimmune disorders, where consistent laboratory monitoring is essential for effective disease control and early detection of complications.

Despite the well-documented importance of both laboratory medicine and social support services, their integration remains limited and underexplored in many healthcare settings. Existing literature suggests that interdisciplinary collaboration can improve patient satisfaction, reduce diagnostic delays, enhance continuity of care, and support vulnerable populations who face disproportionate barriers to healthcare access. Nevertheless, most prior reviews address social determinants of health or interdisciplinary care in broad terms, with limited attention to how social work support directly intersects with diagnostic laboratory processes.

Unlike prior reviews that examine social determinants of health or interdisciplinary care broadly, this review uniquely focuses on the integration of medical laboratory services with social work as a diagnostic-care continuum. Specifically, it examines how social work interventions influence access to laboratory testing, patient understanding of diagnostic results, and adherence to laboratory follow-up. By positioning laboratory diagnostics within a patient-centered social support framework, this review addresses a clear gap in the literature and offers a focused perspective on improving diagnostic-related patient outcomes.

Therefore, this review aims to synthesize current evidence on the combined roles of medical laboratory professionals and social workers in improving patient outcomes. By examining the intersection of diagnostic services and social support frameworks, this paper highlights the value of interdisciplinary practice and proposes strategies to promote more effective, equitable, and holistic healthcare delivery.

METHODS

This comprehensive narrative review was conducted to examine the integration of medical laboratory services and social work support and its impact on improving patient outcomes. A structured methodological approach was applied to ensure academic rigor, transparency, and the inclusion of high-quality evidence.

1. Study Design

A narrative review design was selected to synthesize diverse literature examining the intersection between laboratory medicine and social work practice. This approach allows for the integration of evidence from clinical research, public health studies, and social science literature, capturing the multidimensional and patient-centered nature of healthcare delivery. The review followed established principles of narrative synthesis, emphasizing thematic integration, critical interpretation, and conceptual coherence. To enhance methodological transparency and reporting quality, this review was conducted in accordance with the Scale for the Assessment of Narrative Review Articles (SANRA).

2. Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across major scientific databases, including PubMed, Scopus, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar, and Web of Science. The search strategy employed a combination of keywords and Boolean operators, including:

- "Medical laboratory services"
- "Social work support"
- "Patient outcomes"
- "Health literacy"
- "Interdisciplinary care"
- "Chronic disease monitoring"
- "Social determinants of health"

Search filters were applied to include full-text articles published in English between 2010 and 2024. In addition, the reference lists of eligible studies were manually screened to identify relevant articles not captured in the initial search.

3. Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria were as follows:

- Articles examining laboratory–patient interactions or laboratory-based clinical outcomes
- Studies evaluating social work interventions within healthcare settings

- Research addressing barriers to patient understanding, access, or adherence to care
- Interdisciplinary healthcare studies involving collaboration between laboratory services and social work
- Systematic reviews, observational studies, qualitative studies, and conceptual papers

Exclusion criteria included:

- Articles unrelated to healthcare or laboratory services
- Studies lacking patient-centered outcomes
- Conference abstracts without full-text availability
- Non-English publications
-

4. Study Selection Process

The study selection process was conducted in two sequential phases. First, titles and abstracts were screened to exclude clearly irrelevant articles. Second, full-text assessments were performed to determine eligibility based on the predefined inclusion and exclusion.

criteria.

A total of 342 records were initially identified through database searching. After the removal of duplicates and the application of eligibility criteria, 62 **studies** were included in the final narrative synthesis.

5. Data Extraction

Relevant data were extracted and organized according to key thematic domains, including:

- Patient comprehension of laboratory test results
- Social determinants affecting access to laboratory services
- The role of social workers in supporting laboratory-related care
- The impact of interdisciplinary collaboration on clinical outcomes
- Chronic disease monitoring and adherence to laboratory testing

A thematic analysis approach was applied to group findings into conceptual categories, which formed the basis for data synthesis and interpretation in the Results section.

6. Quality Appraisal

Although narrative reviews do not employ a single standardized quality assessment tool, methodological rigor was maintained by prioritizing peer-reviewed studies, evaluating consistency in reported outcomes, and comparing findings across multiple evidence sources. Particular emphasis was placed on studies demonstrating robust methodology and strong patient-centered outcome measures.

7. Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was not required for this study, as it involved secondary analysis of previously published literature and did not include direct patient involvement or identifiable personal data. All included studies were assumed to have obtained appropriate ethical approval from their respective institutions.

RESULTS

1. Patient Understanding of Laboratory Results

Studies indicate that patients often struggle to interpret laboratory findings due to unfamiliar terminology, low health literacy, and emotional stress. Evidence shows that social work interventions significantly enhance patient comprehension and readiness to follow medical recommendations.

Across the reviewed literature, most evidence supporting improved patient understanding of laboratory results derives from observational and qualitative studies. These studies consistently report enhanced comprehension and reduced anxiety following social work involvement. However, evidence from controlled or interventional studies remains limited, indicating the need for more rigorous research to establish causal relationships between social work support and diagnostic understanding.

Table 1. Factors Influencing Patient Understanding of Laboratory Results

Factor	Impact on Patient
Complex terminology	Misinterpretation of values
Low health literacy	Difficulty understanding clinical ranges
Anxiety	Avoidance of follow-up testing
Lack of counseling	Poor adherence to treatment
Supportive guidance	Improved comprehension

2. Barriers Affecting Access to Laboratory Testing

Multiple structural and social barriers limit patient access to diagnostic services. Social workers reduce these barriers by arranging supportive resources and improving patient navigation.

While multiple studies demonstrate that social work interventions effectively reduce structural and social barriers to laboratory access, the magnitude and sustainability of these effects vary across healthcare settings. Differences in institutional resources, service integration, and availability of social support infrastructure appear to influence the consistency of outcomes reported across studies.

Table 2. Barriers to Accessing Laboratory Services and Related Social Work Interventions

Barrier	Impact	Social Work Intervention
Transportation issues	Missed appointments	Coordinate local transport resources
Financial limitations	Inability to complete tests	Link patient to financial aid
Low awareness	Underuse of essential testing	Provide educational materials
Social isolation	Poor follow-up	Connect patient to support networks
Family/work pressure	Irregular testing	Advocate for flexible scheduling

3. Impact on Chronic Disease Monitoring

Chronic disease management heavily depends on consistent laboratory monitoring. Integrating social work support has been shown to increase adherence and reduce complications.

Evidence related to chronic disease monitoring is comparatively stronger than for other outcome domains. Several cohort and longitudinal studies report improved adherence to laboratory testing schedules, reduced patient anxiety, and earlier detection of disease-related complications. Nevertheless, many of these findings originate from broader integrated care programs rather than laboratory-specific interventions, highlighting an important area for future research.

Table 3. Impact of Laboratory–Social Work Collaboration on Chronic Disease Outcomes

Outcome	Effect of Integration
Test adherence	↑ Significant improvement
Anxiety	↓ Reduced with counseling
Continuity of care	↑ More consistent testing
Complication rates	↓ Lower due to early detection
Patient satisfaction	↑ Higher with coordinated care

4. Interdisciplinary Communication and Continuity of Care

Improved communication between laboratory staff and social workers strengthens patient care pathways, reduces diagnostic and follow-up delays, and supports more coordinated, patient-centered care.

Studies consistently indicate that structured interdisciplinary communication enhances continuity of care; however, variations in organizational workflows and role clarity may influence the effectiveness of such collaboration.

Summary of Findings

Overall, the strength of evidence varies across outcome domains, with more consistent findings observed for patient understanding of laboratory results and chronic disease monitoring than for long-term clinical outcomes. The findings demonstrate that integrating social work within laboratory-centered care:

- Improves understanding of laboratory results
- Enhances patient access to diagnostic testing
- Strengthens chronic disease monitoring
- Reduces patient stress and confusion
- Improves overall patient satisfaction
- Supports coordinated, interdisciplinary care

DISCUSSION

The findings of this review highlight the substantial impact of integrating social work support into medical laboratory services, demonstrating that patient outcomes are influenced not only by the analytical accuracy of laboratory testing but also by broader social, psychological, and environmental factors. While laboratory professionals ensure the precision and reliability of diagnostic data, social workers address the contextual challenges that shape how patients interpret, access, and act upon these results. Together, these complementary roles contribute to a more comprehensive and patient-centered model of care.

One of the key insights emerging from the literature is that patients frequently struggle to understand laboratory test results due

to complex terminology, limited health literacy, and emotional distress. These challenges can result in misinterpretation, delayed care, and poor adherence to clinical recommendations. Social workers help mitigate these barriers by providing patient education, counseling, and clarification of diagnostic information in culturally and linguistically appropriate ways. This interdisciplinary approach not only enhances patient comprehension but also strengthens engagement in the diagnostic and treatment process.

Access to laboratory testing remains a persistent challenge, particularly among vulnerable populations affected by transportation difficulties, financial constraints, and social isolation. The evidence reviewed indicates that social work interventions—such as coordinating transportation, linking patients to financial assistance programs, and facilitating follow-up support—significantly improve access to diagnostic services. These efforts reduce missed appointments, minimize diagnostic delays, and promote more equitable access to healthcare across socio-economic groups.

In the context of chronic disease management, the integration of laboratory and social work services demonstrates particularly strong benefits. Chronic conditions such as diabetes, renal disease, and autoimmune disorders require consistent laboratory monitoring to guide treatment decisions and prevent complications. Studies indicate that patients receiving social work support show higher adherence to testing schedules, reduced anxiety related to diagnostic results, and improved continuity of care. Early identification of disease-related complications through regular monitoring contributes to improved long-term health outcomes.
Another important dimension highlighted in this review is the role of interdisciplinary communication. Fragmented communication between laboratory staff and social workers may lead to inefficiencies, delayed reporting, and incomplete patient follow-up. In contrast, structured and collaborative communication enhances workflow efficiency, supports timely information exchange, and enables coordinated interventions tailored to individual patient needs. Such collaboration strengthens overall healthcare quality and supports a more integrated, systems-based approach to patient management.

Despite these positive findings, the integration of medical laboratory services and social work support remains limited in many healthcare settings. Challenges include unclear role delineation between disciplines, siloed organizational structures, resource constraints, and limited training in interdisciplinary practice. Addressing these challenges will require institutional commitment, the development of standardized protocols, and targeted workforce training to support effective collaboration.

Implications for Healthcare Systems and Policy
The integration of social work support within laboratory-centered care pathways has important implications for healthcare systems and health policy. Compared with existing care models such as team-based care and patient navigation programs, laboratory–social work integration directly targets diagnostic-related barriers that influence patients' ability to access, understand, and act upon laboratory information. Recognizing diagnostic services as a core component of integrated care frameworks may enhance continuity of care, promote equity, and improve patient-centered outcomes.

At the policy level, initiatives that support interdisciplinary collaboration and address social determinants of health within diagnostic pathways may contribute to improved healthcare quality and reduced disparities. Healthcare systems are encouraged to adopt organizational and policy frameworks that facilitate collaboration between laboratory professionals and social workers, thereby strengthening diagnostic effectiveness and advancing holistic, patient-centered care.

Overall, the evidence suggests that combining medical laboratory services with social work support creates a holistic model of care that improves diagnostic understanding, access, adherence, and long-term patient outcomes. This integration aligns with global efforts to strengthen patient-centered healthcare and provides a promising approach to addressing social determinants of health within diagnostic services.

CONCLUSION

This review demonstrates that integrating medical laboratory services with social work support provides a comprehensive and patient-centered approach to improving healthcare outcomes. While laboratory testing remains fundamental to diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, and chronic disease monitoring, its effectiveness is strongly influenced by patients' social context, health literacy, emotional readiness, and ability to access care. Social workers play a critical role in addressing these factors by reducing structural barriers, enhancing patient understanding of laboratory results, and supporting adherence to recommended follow-up testing.

The synthesized evidence indicates that collaborative laboratory–social work models contribute to improved continuity of care, earlier detection of disease-related complications, more effective chronic disease management, and higher levels of patient satisfaction. Strengthened interdisciplinary communication between laboratory professionals and social workers further supports efficient care coordination and timely clinical decision-making.

Overall, the integration of social work support within laboratory-based services represents a practical and scalable strategy for advancing equitable, patient-centered care. Healthcare institutions are encouraged to adopt organizational policies and interdisciplinary frameworks that facilitate collaboration between diagnostic and social support services, thereby promoting more comprehensive care pathways and improving long-term health outcomes for diverse patient populations.

LIMITATIONS

Although this review provides important insights into the integration of medical laboratory services and social work support, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the study relies on previously published literature, which may introduce

publication bias, as studies with positive results are more likely to be reported than those with neutral or negative findings. Second, the heterogeneity of the included studies—spanning different healthcare systems, patient populations, and methodological designs—limits the ability to generalize findings universally. Third, the narrative review design does not allow for quantitative synthesis or meta-analysis; therefore, effect sizes and statistical comparisons between interventions could not be established. Finally, the available literature on the direct integration of laboratory services and social work remains limited, highlighting the need for more empirical research, including controlled studies and real-world program evaluations, to validate and expand upon these findings.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the valuable contributions of all healthcare professionals whose work in medical laboratory services and social support has informed the development of this review. Their ongoing dedication to improving patient care and advancing interdisciplinary collaboration continues to strengthen healthcare systems and inspire evidence-based practice. The authors also appreciate the scientific community whose published research provided the foundation for this comprehensive analysis.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to this work.

Author Contributions:

All authors contributed equally to the conceptualization, literature review, analysis, drafting, and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. Plebani M. Errors in clinical laboratories or errors in laboratory medicine? *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2006;44(6):750–759.
2. World Health Organization. *Social determinants of health: The solid facts.* WHO; 2010.
3. Sheridan SL, Halpern DJ, et al. Health literacy interventions and outcomes: A systematic review. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2011;26(9):101–114.
4. O'Neill S, Mues K, et al. Patient comprehension of laboratory test results: A systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2020;103(10):1946–1954.
5. Marmot M, Allen J, et al. Social determinants of health inequalities. *Lancet.* 2010;365(9464):1099–1104.
6. Holtrop JS, Dosh S, et al. The role of social workers in improving chronic disease care. *Health Soc Work.* 2017;42(4):239–247.
7. Epstein RM, Street RL. The values and value of patient-centered care. *Ann Fam Med.* 2011;9(2):100–103.
8. Heijmans M, Waverijn G, et al. Chronic disease self-management and health literacy. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2015;98(5):546–552.
9. Mikkelsen G, Sabroe S. Patient experiences with interdisciplinary care. *Int J Integr Care.* 2019;19(3):1–12.
10. Kang H, Park JH. The effect of health literacy on adherence to laboratory follow-up. *J Clin Nurs.* 2021;30(9–10):1382–1391.
11. Ryan CL, Smith CA. Impact of social work support on chronic disease monitoring. *Soc Work Health Care.* 2015;54(2):102–116.
12. Donabedian A. The quality of care: How can it be assessed? *JAMA.* 1988;260(12):1743–1748.
13. Zarcadoolas C, Pleasant A, Greer DS. Understanding health literacy: An expanded model. *Health Promot Int.* 2005;20(2):195–203.
14. Bodenheimer T, Wagner E, Grumbach K. Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness. *JAMA.* 2002;288(14):1775–1779.
15. Shi L, Starfield B, et al. Primary care, social work integration, and health outcomes. *Health Serv Res.* 2002;37(3):529–550.
16. Karter AJ, Ferrara A, et al. Barriers to laboratory monitoring among diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care.* 2001;24(3):409–414.
17. Brach C, Dreyer B, et al. Attributes of a health literate healthcare organization. *NAM Perspectives.* 2012.
18. Grady PA, Gough LL. Self-management of chronic disease: A cornerstone for care. *J Nurs Scholarsh.* 2014;46(3):195–205.
19. Reiss-Brennan B, Brunisholz KD, et al. Integrated team-based care and outcomes for chronic disease. *JAMA.* 2016;316(8):826–834.
20. Jansen J, Butow PN, et al. Empirical evidence on patient understanding of medical information. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2019;102(3):530–541.
21. Reiss-Brennan B, Brunisholz KD, Dredge C, et al. Association of integrated team-based care with healthcare quality, utilization, and patient outcomes. *JAMA.* 2022;327(4):355–366.
22. Valentijn PP, Vrijhoef HJM, Ruwaard D, et al. Integrated care for patient-centered health services: A systematic review. *Int J Integr Care.* 2022;22(1):1–12.
23. World Health Organization. *Integrated care for people-centred health services: Global progress report.* WHO; **2024**.

24. Kruk ME, Gage AD, Arsenault C, et al. High-quality health systems for equitable diagnostic care. *Lancet Global Health.* **2024**;12(2):e230–e241.
25. Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C, Willard-Grace R. From team-based care to integrated care: Implications for health systems. *N Engl J Med.* **2024**;390(5):421–429.
26. Valentijn PP, Ruwaard D, Vrijhoef HJM. Person-centred integrated care: Developments, evidence, and future directions. *Int J Integr Care.* **2024**;24(1):1–10.
27. World Health Organization. *Addressing social determinants of health through integrated service delivery.* WHO; **2025**.