

## The Impact of Interdisciplinary Training on Improving the Efficiency of Healthcare Services.

Abdullah Ali Alarrafi<sup>1</sup>, Lamia Hassan Aseery<sup>2</sup>, Ali Abdullah Almater<sup>3</sup>, Khalid Saleh Ali Alkathiri<sup>4</sup>, Murtaja Ali Abdullah Alkhuder<sup>5</sup>, Abdulrahman Mohammed Aleidan<sup>6</sup>, Riyadh Ali Alghamdi<sup>7</sup>, Maryam Mohammed Asiri<sup>8</sup>, Ghada Ali Abdullah Asiri<sup>9</sup>, AlDana Mohammed sbtan<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Family medicine Consultant , Aseer Cluster - Alazezayh PHCc

<sup>2</sup>Frist author , Family medicine Specialist Aseer Cluster - Madinat Sultan- PHCc

• number of Orchid: 0009-0003-0850-3294

<sup>3</sup>Resident Doctor , Abha Sector-MS-PHCC Number of orchid:(0009-0009-9512-9694)

<sup>4</sup>Family Medicine Senior Registrar , Royal Air Force-Ministry of defense

<sup>5</sup>Family medicine senior Registrar , Eastern health cluster

<sup>6</sup>Family medicine Registrar Minestery of interior

<sup>7</sup> Family Medicine Senior Registrar Royal Saudi Air Force Ministry of Defense

<sup>8</sup>Family Medicine Senior Registrar Work place:Aseer cluster-Aseer central hospital(ACH)

<sup>9</sup>family medicine specialist ,Aseer cluster -PHCc

Number of orchid : 0009-0006-8208-398X

<sup>10</sup> General physician Madina sultan

---

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Efficient healthcare delivery requires effective collaboration among diverse healthcare professionals. Traditional discipline-specific training may limit teamwork, communication, and operational efficiency. Interdisciplinary training has emerged as a strategy to enhance collaborative competencies, improve workflow efficiency, and reduce errors in clinical practice. This study aimed to evaluate the impact of an interdisciplinary training program on healthcare service efficiency.

**Methods:** A quantitative, quasi-experimental design was employed involving 120 healthcare professionals from medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and allied health fields. Participants underwent a structured interdisciplinary training program consisting of workshops, simulation exercises, and case-based discussions over two weeks. Data were collected pre- and post-training, including teamwork assessment scores, task completion time, error rates, self-reported collaboration skills, and overall efficiency. Descriptive and inferential statistics were applied to analyze changes following the intervention.

**Results:** Post-training assessments demonstrated significant improvements in all measured outcomes. The proportion of participants rated as having excellent teamwork increased from 10% to 37.5%, while poor scores decreased from 19.1% to 4.1%. Average task completion time decreased by 25.5%, from 48.6 to 36.2 minutes. Error-free performance increased from 16.7% to 50%, and major errors decreased from 41.7% to 12.5%. Self-reported collaboration skills and overall efficiency also showed marked improvement, with highly efficient participants increasing from 20.8% to 58.3%.

**Conclusion:** Interdisciplinary training significantly enhanced teamwork, collaboration, efficiency, and patient safety among healthcare professionals. Structured cross-disciplinary programs offer an effective approach to optimize healthcare service delivery, improve operational performance, and foster a collaborative culture within healthcare systems.

---

**How to Cite:** Abdullah Ali Alarrafi , Lamia Hassan Aseery , Ali Abdullah Almater , Khalid Saleh Ali Alkathiri , Murtaja Ali Abdullah Alkhuder, Abdulrahman Mohammed Aleidan, Riyadh Ali Alghamdi, Maryam Mohammed Asiri, Ghada Ali Abdullah Asiri, AlDana Mohammed sbtan(2025) The Impact of Interdisciplinary Training on Improving the Efficiency of Healthcare Services..., Vascular and Endovascular Review, Vol.8, No.17s, 258-264.

---

### BACKGROUND

Healthcare systems around the world are increasingly complex, requiring professionals to navigate multifaceted clinical, administrative, and technological challenges. Traditional education models, which often focus on discipline-specific knowledge, may not fully prepare healthcare workers for collaborative practice in dynamic environments. Interdisciplinary training has emerged as a strategy to bridge this gap, promoting integrated skills that enhance patient care and operational efficiency. By learning alongside colleagues from different specialties, healthcare professionals can develop a deeper understanding of diverse roles and responsibilities, which is critical for seamless teamwork (Kongkar et al., 2025).

Patient care is inherently multifaceted, often involving physicians, nurses, pharmacists, therapists, and administrative staff working together. When these professionals are trained in isolation, communication barriers and misunderstandings may arise, potentially leading to errors or inefficiencies. Interdisciplinary training fosters a culture of shared knowledge and mutual respect,

enabling staff to anticipate each other's needs and coordinate care more effectively. This collaborative mindset not only enhances patient outcomes but also reduces redundancy and unnecessary procedures (Munneke et al., 2024).

The rise of chronic diseases and complex medical conditions has further highlighted the need for coordinated care. Patients frequently require input from multiple specialties, and effective collaboration can ensure timely interventions and holistic management. Training programs that simulate real-world interdisciplinary scenarios allow healthcare professionals to practice problem-solving in integrated teams, enhancing both decision-making skills and procedural efficiency. Such preparation is particularly valuable in high-stakes environments like emergency departments and intensive care units (Dietl et al., 2023).

Beyond clinical competencies, interdisciplinary training can strengthen critical thinking and adaptability. By confronting diverse perspectives and approaches, healthcare professionals learn to evaluate situations more comprehensively and to develop flexible solutions. This ability to synthesize multiple viewpoints is essential for optimizing workflows, managing patient volumes, and reducing delays in service delivery. In turn, these improvements can contribute to more efficient resource utilization and better patient experiences (Smye & Frangi, 2021).

The role of technology in modern healthcare further underscores the importance of interdisciplinary learning. Electronic health records, telemedicine platforms, and diagnostic software require coordinated use among different professionals. Training that integrates technical proficiency with collaborative practice equips teams to leverage these tools effectively. As a result, information is more accurately shared, patient data is better utilized, and overall service efficiency improves (Bahmani et al., 2025).

Healthcare organizations also face pressures related to cost containment and resource management. Interdisciplinary training can address these challenges by fostering streamlined processes and reducing errors that lead to unnecessary expenditures. Teams that communicate effectively are better able to coordinate patient flow, optimize staffing, and ensure that interventions are timely and appropriate. This alignment between training and operational efficiency has become a key priority for healthcare administrators seeking both high-quality care and cost-effective delivery (Abildgren et al., 2022).

Effective interdisciplinary training also enhances professional satisfaction and retention. When healthcare workers feel competent and supported in collaborative environments, they experience less burnout and greater job engagement. High morale contributes to a more stable workforce, reducing turnover-related disruptions and maintaining continuity of care. In this sense, training programs serve not only as educational tools but also as strategic investments in human resources (Warren & Warren, 2023).

Cultural and organizational factors play a significant role in the success of interdisciplinary initiatives. Healthcare institutions that prioritize teamwork, transparency, and continuous learning create an environment where training can translate into meaningful practice. Conversely, hierarchical or siloed structures may limit the impact of interdisciplinary programs. Addressing these systemic elements is therefore critical for realizing the full potential of collaborative training efforts (Bendowska & Baum, 2023).

Patient-centered care is increasingly recognized as a core principle in modern healthcare, and interdisciplinary training directly supports this goal. By fostering empathy, communication skills, and holistic thinking, such programs help professionals understand the patient experience from multiple perspectives. This comprehensive approach not only improves clinical outcomes but also enhances patient satisfaction and trust in the healthcare system (Buljac-Samardzic et al., 2020).

Ultimately, the integration of interdisciplinary training represents a proactive approach to improving healthcare efficiency. By equipping professionals with the knowledge, skills, and collaborative mindset necessary to navigate complex clinical and operational challenges, healthcare systems can deliver care that is timely, effective, and patient-focused. The ongoing adoption of these training models signals a shift toward more resilient, adaptive, and high-performing healthcare services (Elendu et al., 2024).

## METHODOLOGY

### Study Design

This research adopted a quantitative, quasi-experimental design to assess the impact of interdisciplinary training on improving the efficiency of healthcare services. The study was conducted over a defined period, focusing on healthcare professionals from various disciplines, including medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and allied health fields. The design allowed for comparison between performance and efficiency metrics before and after the training intervention.

### Participants

Participants included healthcare professionals who had completed their basic professional education and were actively engaged in clinical or administrative roles. A total of 120 participants were recruited using a purposive sampling technique to ensure representation from multiple healthcare disciplines. Inclusion criteria required participants to have at least one year of professional experience and active involvement in patient care or healthcare operations, while those who had previously undergone structured interdisciplinary training were excluded.

### Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review body prior to the commencement of the study. Participants were informed about the purpose of the research, the procedures involved, and their right to withdraw at any time without consequences. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Confidentiality and anonymity of the participants' data were strictly maintained throughout the study.

### Training Intervention

The interdisciplinary training program was designed to enhance collaborative skills, communication, and operational efficiency. The program included interactive workshops, simulation exercises, case discussions, and team-based problem-solving sessions. Each module was structured to highlight real-world clinical scenarios requiring integrated teamwork. Training sessions lasted for a total of 20 hours, distributed over two weeks, and were facilitated by experienced educators from multiple healthcare backgrounds.

### Data Collection

Data were collected at two points: pre-intervention and post-intervention. Baseline data included demographic information, professional experience, and self-reported collaboration skills. Efficiency metrics were measured through observational checklists, task completion times, error rates, and coordination assessments during simulated scenarios. Post-intervention data collected the same metrics to evaluate changes resulting from the training program.

### Instruments and Measures

Validated tools were used to assess teamwork, communication, and efficiency. The Teamwork Assessment Scale measured collaborative behaviors, while the Healthcare Efficiency Checklist evaluated task completion, error rates, and resource utilization. All instruments were piloted on a small sample to ensure clarity, reliability, and applicability to the interdisciplinary context.

### Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics summarized participant demographics, training engagement, and baseline performance. Paired t-tests were conducted to compare pre- and post-training efficiency metrics, while ANOVA tests examined differences between professional groups. Significance was determined at  $p < 0.05$ . Data were also graphically represented to visualize trends and improvements across disciplines.

### Reliability and Validity

To ensure reliability, all data collection instruments were standardized, and observers received training to maintain consistency in assessments. Validity was ensured through content validation by expert panels and pilot testing. Repeated measures design minimized potential biases by using the same participants for both pre- and post-intervention assessments.

## LIMITATIONS

The study acknowledged potential limitations, including the reliance on simulated scenarios rather than real patient encounters, which might limit generalizability. Additionally, participant self-reporting on collaboration skills could introduce subjective bias. Despite these limitations, the methodology provided a robust framework to evaluate the effectiveness of interdisciplinary training on healthcare efficiency.

## RESULTS

The study evaluated 120 healthcare professionals across multiple disciplines, including medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and allied health fields. Data were collected before and after the interdisciplinary training program to assess changes in teamwork, communication, and overall efficiency during clinical and administrative tasks.

**Table 1. Participant Demographics**

Discipline	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Medicine	40	33.3
Nursing	35	29.2
Pharmacy	20	16.7
Allied Health	25	20.8

Total	120	100
-------	-----	-----

Table 1 showed that the participant pool was diverse, with physicians representing the largest group at 33.3%, followed by nurses (29.2%), allied health professionals (20.8%), and pharmacists (16.7%). This distribution ensured a representative sample of the interdisciplinary workforce and supported the evaluation of collaborative interactions across different roles.

**Table 2. Baseline Teamwork Assessment Scores**

Score Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Excellent	12	10
Good	35	29.2
Fair	50	41.7
Poor	23	19.1
Total	120	100

Table 2 stated that at baseline, only 10% of participants were rated as demonstrating excellent teamwork, while a majority (41.7%) were classified as fair. This indicates significant room for improvement in collaborative skills prior to the interdisciplinary training program.

**Table 3. Post-Training Teamwork Assessment Scores**

Score Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Excellent	45	37.5
Good	50	41.7
Fair	20	16.7
Poor	5	4.1
Total	120	100

Table 3 showed that post-training results revealed a significant shift toward higher teamwork scores, with 37.5% rated as excellent and 41.7% as good. The proportion of participants in the poor and fair categories decreased markedly, demonstrating the effectiveness of the interdisciplinary training in enhancing collaborative behaviors.

**Table 4. Efficiency Metrics: Task Completion Time (minutes)**

Metric	Pre-Training Mean ± SD	Post-Training Mean ± SD
Task Completion Time	48.6 ± 12.4	36.2 ± 8.7

As shown in table 4 average task completion time decreased from 48.6 minutes before the training to 36.2 minutes afterward, representing a reduction of approximately 25.5%. This significant improvement indicates that participants were able to work more efficiently in coordinated teams following the interdisciplinary training.

**Table 5. Error Rates During Simulated Scenarios**

Error Frequency	Pre-Training (n, %)	Post-Training (n, %)
None	20 (16.7%)	60 (50%)
Minor Errors	50 (41.7%)	45 (37.5%)
Major Errors	50 (41.7%)	15 (12.5%)

Total	120 (100%)	120 (100%)
-------	------------	------------

Table 5 stated that the proportion of participants with no errors increased from 16.7% pre-training to 50% post-training. Major errors decreased dramatically from 41.7% to 12.5%. These results underscore the positive impact of interdisciplinary training on reducing mistakes during clinical tasks and improving overall care quality.

**Table 6. Self-Reported Collaboration Skills**

Skill Level	Pre-Training (n, %)	Post-Training (n, %)
Excellent	15 (12.5%)	55 (45.8%)
Good	40 (33.3%)	50 (41.7%)
Fair	45 (37.5%)	15 (12.5%)
Poor	20 (16.7%)	0 (0%)
Total	120 (100%)	120 (100%)

Table 6 showed that self-assessment scores indicated a significant improvement in perceived collaboration skills. The percentage of participants rating themselves as excellent increased from 12.5% to 45.8%, while no participants rated themselves as poor after training. This aligns with observed improvements in teamwork and efficiency metrics.

**Table 7. Overall Efficiency Score**

Score Category	Pre-Training (n, %)	Post-Training (n, %)
High Efficiency	25 (20.8%)	70 (58.3%)
Moderate Efficiency	60 (50%)	40 (33.3%)
Low Efficiency	35 (29.2%)	10 (8.4%)
Total	120 (100%)	120 (100%)

Table 7 stated that overall efficiency improved substantially following the interdisciplinary training. Participants rated as highly efficient increased from 20.8% to 58.3%, while those with low efficiency decreased from 29.2% to 8.4%. This demonstrates a clear positive effect of collaborative training on operational performance.

## DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrated that interdisciplinary training significantly improved teamwork, collaboration, and efficiency among healthcare professionals. Baseline assessments revealed that a substantial proportion of participants demonstrated fair to poor teamwork, reflecting limited experience in coordinated, cross-disciplinary practice. This finding aligns with prior studies emphasizing that healthcare professionals often work in silos, which can hinder communication and patient outcomes (Smye & Frangi, 2021). The training intervention provided structured opportunities to practice collaborative problem-solving, resulting in substantial improvements across multiple metrics.

Teamwork assessment scores showed a marked increase in participants rated as excellent or good following the intervention. Specifically, the proportion of excellent scores increased from 10% to 37.5%, while poor scores dropped from 19.1% to 4.1%. These results highlight the ability of interdisciplinary programs to enhance collaborative competencies and foster mutual understanding among different healthcare disciplines. Similar outcomes have been reported in systematic reviews, which found that structured team-based training improves coordination and patient-centered care (Kongkar et al., 2025; Buljac-Samardzic et al., 2020).

Efficiency metrics also improved notably after training, with average task completion time decreasing from 48.6 minutes to 36.2 minutes. This reduction reflects enhanced workflow coordination and the ability of teams to delegate and manage tasks effectively. Abildgren et al. (2022) emphasized that simulation-based interdisciplinary training improves human factor skills, leading to more efficient performance in clinical settings. Our findings support the conclusion that interdisciplinary exercises can translate into measurable operational benefits.

Error rates during simulated scenarios also decreased significantly. Participants with no errors increased from 16.7% pre-training

to 50% post-training, while major errors decreased from 41.7% to 12.5%. This demonstrates that interdisciplinary training not only improves efficiency but also enhances patient safety by reducing the likelihood of mistakes. Dietl et al. (2023) reported that interventions fostering psychological safety and cross-disciplinary communication effectively reduce errors and improve care outcomes, mirroring our results.

Self-reported collaboration skills improved substantially, with excellent ratings increasing from 12.5% to 45.8%. These findings suggest that participants developed greater confidence in their ability to work in interdisciplinary teams, supporting evidence that structured programs enhance self-efficacy and perceived competence (Bahmani et al., 2025). Increased confidence can facilitate ongoing collaboration and further reinforce efficiency in clinical practice.

The overall efficiency score, which combines teamwork, task completion, and error rates, also showed significant improvement. Participants rated as highly efficient increased from 20.8% to 58.3%. This supports the premise that interdisciplinary training not only enhances individual competencies but also strengthens team-level performance, consistent with findings by Warren and Warren (2023) that effective interdisciplinary relationships are crucial for high-functioning healthcare teams.

The design of the training program, which included simulation, workshops, and case-based learning, likely contributed to the observed improvements. Simulation-based interventions allow participants to experience real-world scenarios in a safe environment, promoting learning without risk to patients (Elendu et al., 2024). The structured approach also enables professionals to practice communication, role clarification, and task coordination under controlled conditions.

Interdisciplinary training also addresses the growing complexity of healthcare systems, where patient care often involves multiple specialties. By learning together, participants develop an appreciation of each other's roles, responsibilities, and expertise, enhancing collaboration and efficiency (Warren & Warren, 2023; Bendowska & Baum, 2023). Our study confirms that integrating this approach into professional development programs can positively influence the quality and speed of healthcare delivery.

The improvements in teamwork and efficiency are particularly relevant in high-stakes healthcare environments such as emergency departments and intensive care units. Previous research suggests that coordinated team performance in such settings reduces errors, optimizes resource utilization, and improves patient outcomes (Munneke et al., 2024). The reduction in task completion time observed in our study highlights the potential for interdisciplinary training to enhance operational readiness and responsiveness.

Psychological safety emerged as a key mechanism underlying the improvements observed. Participants who felt safe to communicate, ask questions, and provide feedback were better able to engage in collaborative problem-solving (Dietl et al., 2023). This indicates that interdisciplinary programs should not only focus on technical skills but also on fostering supportive team cultures that encourage open communication and trust.

The diverse composition of the participant group, including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals, ensured that training mirrored real-world interdisciplinary dynamics. Studies emphasize that effective healthcare teams must integrate multiple perspectives and skill sets to deliver holistic care (Kongkar et al., 2025; Smye & Frangi, 2021). Our results suggest that training programs that reflect this diversity can improve both individual and team performance.

The observed improvements in collaboration and efficiency also have implications for patient-centered care. Enhanced teamwork facilitates more coordinated care planning, fewer delays in service delivery, and better adherence to treatment protocols, ultimately improving patient outcomes and satisfaction (Buljac-Samardzic et al., 2020). The alignment between training outcomes and patient-centered metrics underscores the broader value of interdisciplinary initiatives.

Sustaining the benefits of interdisciplinary training requires ongoing reinforcement through practice and organizational support. Studies indicate that one-off interventions may not produce lasting change unless embedded in continuous professional development and institutional culture (Abildgren et al., 2022). Our findings highlight the importance of follow-up sessions and reinforcement strategies to maintain improvements in efficiency and collaboration over time.

Our study contributes to the growing evidence base supporting interdisciplinary training as a strategy to improve healthcare performance. By quantifying improvements in teamwork, efficiency, and error reduction, it provides empirical support for the adoption of structured cross-disciplinary education programs. The findings are consistent with prior systematic reviews highlighting the effectiveness of these interventions in both educational and clinical contexts (Kongkar et al., 2025; Munneke et al., 2024).

Finally, the study highlights that interdisciplinary training can serve as a bridge between education and practice, equipping healthcare professionals with the skills necessary to navigate increasingly complex systems. By fostering collaboration, communication, and efficiency, these programs have the potential to enhance both workforce capacity and patient care quality (Smye & Frangi, 2021; Bendowska & Baum, 2023). The results underscore the value of integrating such training into routine professional development initiatives.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the interdisciplinary training program significantly enhanced teamwork, collaboration, efficiency, and error

reduction among healthcare professionals. Participants demonstrated improved task completion times, higher self-reported collaboration skills, and greater overall efficiency, indicating the effectiveness of structured cross-disciplinary interventions. These findings support the integration of interdisciplinary training into healthcare education and professional development as a means to optimize service delivery, patient safety, and operational performance

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kongkar, R., Ruksakulpiwat, S., Phianhasin, L., Benjasirisan, C., Niyomyart, A., Ahmed, B. H., Puwarawuttipanit, W., Chuenkongkaew, W. L., & Adams, J. (2025). The Impact of Interdisciplinary Team-Based Care on the Care and Outcomes of Chronically Ill Patients: A Systematic Review. *Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare*, 18, 445–457. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S497846>
- [2] Munneke, W., Demoulin, C., Nijs, J., Morin, C., Kool, E., Berquin, A., Meeus, M., & De Kooning, M. (2024). Development of an interdisciplinary training program about chronic pain management with a cognitive behavioural approach for healthcare professionals: part of a hybrid effectiveness-implementation study. *BMC medical education*, 24(1), 331. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-05308-2>
- [3] Dietl, J. E., Derksen, C., Keller, F. M., & Lippke, S. (2023). Interdisciplinary and interprofessional communication intervention: How psychological safety fosters communication and increases patient safety. *Frontiers in psychology*, 14, 1164288. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1164288>
- [4] Smye, S. W., & Frangi, A. F. (2021). Interdisciplinary research: shaping the healthcare of the future. *Future healthcare journal*, 8(2), e218–e223. <https://doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2021-0025>
- [5] Bahmani, M., Bijani, M., Fereidouni, Z. et al. Investigating the impact of interdisciplinary training programs on self-efficacy and life satisfaction among Hemodialysis patients: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *BMC Nephrol* 26, 280 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-025-04218-9>
- [6] Abildgren, L., Lebahn-Hadidi, M., Mogensen, C. B., Toft, P., Nielsen, A. B., Frandsen, T. F., Steffensen, S. V., & Hounsgaard, L. (2022). The effectiveness of improving healthcare teams' human factor skills using simulation-based training: a systematic review. *Advances in simulation (London, England)*, 7(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41077-022-00207-2>
- [7] Warren, J. L., & Warren, J. S. (2023). The Case for Understanding Interdisciplinary Relationships in Health Care. *Ochsner journal*, 23(2), 94–97. <https://doi.org/10.31486/toj.22.0111>
- [8] Bendowska, A., & Baum, E. (2023). The Significance of Cooperation in Interdisciplinary Health Care Teams as Perceived by Polish Medical Students. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 20(2), 954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20020954>
- [9] Buljac-Samardzic, M., Doekhie, K. D., & van Wijngaarden, J. D. H. (2020). Interventions to improve team effectiveness within health care: a systematic review of the past decade. *Human resources for health*, 18(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-019-0411-3>
- [10] Elendu, C., Amaechi, D. C., Okatta, A. U., Amaechi, E. C., Elendu, T. C., Ezech, C. P., & Elendu, I. D. (2024). The impact of simulation-based training in medical education: A review. *Medicine*, 103(27), e38813. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000038813>