VER
Vascular & Endovascular Review

Predicting Genetic Variability in Susceptibility to Carcinogens in Aquatic Species with the GeneticSuscepAquaNet Algorithm

Dr. Gaurav Tamrakar, Shailesh Singh Thakur

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical, Kalinga University, Raipur, India. ku.gauravtamrakar@kalingauniversity.ac.in
Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical, Kalinga University, Raipur, India. ku.shaileshsinghthakur@kalingauniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Carcinogenic pollutants pose serious hazards for aquatic populations, and aquatic mammals seem to have differing levels of susceptibility, as do other aquatic species. The process to ascertain the factors that elicit this variability is paramount to improve environmental risk assessments and the accompanying conservation efforts. This study outlines the use of the GeneticSuscepAquaNet algorithm, a machine learning algorithm we designed to predict genetic variability in aquatic species' susceptibility to carcinogens. The GeneticSuscepAquaNet model integrates genomics and environmental information and produces results that can be interpreted to understand which genetic information is likely to be associated with an organism's capacity to repair DNA damage as result of exposure to acceptable levels of pollutants. Our results reveal we detected a range of variability associated with genetic susceptibility related to carcinogenic pollutants, along with their likely molecular mechanisms, while we did not deal specifically with carcinogenesis in the aquatics specifically. This paper will contemplate the capacity for the GeneticSuscepAquaNet model to predict cancer risk in aquatic species, therefore contributing a computational application towards our understanding and prevention of cancer, as well as a method to monitor their health at the ecosystem level by monitoring pollution levels affecting risk to aquatic organisms.

KEYWORDS: Carcinogens, Genetic Variability, Aquatic Species,GeneticSuscepAquaNet Algorithm, DNA Repair Mechanisms,Carcinogenesis,Computational Toxicology, Environmental Pollutants, Ecotoxicology, Genomic Risk Assessment.

How to Cite: Dr. Gaurav Tamrakar, Shailesh Singh Thakur, (2025) Predicting Genetic Variability in Susceptibility to Carcinogens in Aquatic Species with the GeneticSuscepAquaNet Algorithm, Vascular and Endovascular Review, Vol.8, No.1s, 8-10

INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals, pesticides and industrial chemicals being present in the aquatic environment, carcinogenic pollutants are greatly dispersed in the aquatic environment which poses huge risks to aquatic organisms [1]. Carcinogenic pollutants rapidly induce both genetic mutations and affect cellular mechanisms responsible for repair, that can lead to cancer. While many of these pollutants induce cancer, aquatic species do not all react the same way. Within the aquatic species exposed, there will be genetic variation including differences in DNA repair mechanisms, which are major drivers in causing either resistance or susceptibility to carcinogenic damage. This is where the GeneticSuscepAquaNet algorithm is developed to help predict the varying genetic variability of aquatic species that can impact species susceptibility to carcinogens by evaluating DNA repair mechanisms and genetic mutations[2].Research Problem: Carcinogenic pollutants in aquatic habitats are an established fact, while questions can be raised about the associations with the many different genetic factors that can explain why some species are more susceptible to them than others. The development of the GeneticSuscepAquaNet model can be viewed as a first step in developing a computational approach to study these genetic differences and identify which species will be at greater risk of carcinogenesis from their genetic factors [4].

DNA REPAIR MECHANISMS AND CARCINOGENIC SUSCEPTIBILITY IN AQUATIC SPECIES

2.1 Categories of DNA Repair Mechanisms:

DNA repair is an essential mechanism of genomic integrity, especially for organisms that inhabit environments exposed to environmental carcinogens. Among the mechanisms include: Base Excision Repair (BER), Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER), Mismatch Repair (MMR), and Double-Strand Break Repair (DSBR). Each repair pathway strikingly varies from species to species and difficulties in repair can increase the susceptibility to carcinogens [5].

2.2 Carcinogenesis and DNA Repair Deficiencies

Deficiencies in DNA repair mechanisms is partly responsible for the accumulation of mutations and the subsequent onset of carcinogenesis [7]. Aquatic organisms with similarly deficient repair mechanisms characterized by lower BER or NER may have a higher susceptibility to DNA damage posed by environmental pollutants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) or heavy metals that impart oxidative stress and DNA adducts. Understanding genetic variation of these pathways is useful to predict which species are more prone to carcinogenesis[6].

2.3 PAHs and Aquatic Species Carcinogenesis:

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), like other types of carcinogenic substances, can be common environmental contaminants for aquatic organisms. Investigations into PAH-induced carcinogenesis indicate that PAHs damage DNA through the formation of adducts between the PAH and DNA bases that subsequently result in DNA mutations. Some fish species are worse off than others because their DNA repair mechanisms are compromised which increases their risk of developing cancer from PAHs. The GeneticSuscepAquaNet is a model to evaluate, in part, the effects of PAH exposure with respect to quantifying the relative role of specific DNA repair mechanisms at preventing carcinogenesis[8].

GENETICSUSCEPAQUANET ALGORITHM: A COMPUTATIONAL APPROACH TO ASSESS CARCINOGEN SUSCEPTIBILITY

3.1 GeneticSuscepAquaNet Algorithm Overview:

GeneticSuscepAquaNet is a machine-learning algorithm designed to assess genetic susceptibility to exposure to carcinogens in aquatic organisms. The algorithm makes use of genomic and environmental data, so it can identify important genetic markers associated with DNA repair demi or efficacy, as well as susceptibility to carcinogenic agents[10]. The algorithm fuses and analyzes large-scale genomic sequences, toxicological studies, and environmental exposures, which allows

for predictions on how multiple carcinogenic agents interact with genetic materials in aquatic organisms[9].

3.2 Informational Architecture of Algorithm:

The GeneticSuscepAquaNet algorithm is structured in different components:

Data Preprocessing Procedures Genomic and environmental data needs to be pre-processed, to be compatible and usable. For example, this can include normalizing the DNA sequences, dealing with missing data, and identifying some of the environmental variables (for example, levels of carcinogen exposure) [11].

OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES AND COMPUTATIONAL MODELS IN CARCINOGEN SUSCEPTIBILITY

4.1 Computational Models in the Study of Genetic Susceptibility to Carcinogens:

Computational models have been applied to evaluate the effects of a carcinogen on genetic material using a number of approaches [Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), Genetic Algorithms (GA) and Markov models]. These approaches can also model the effects of carcinogens on DNA repair pathways, and fits to identify species that are more susceptible to carcinogenesis based on their genetic composition. Integration of GeneticSuscepAquaNet Software with Other Computational models [12]. The GeneticSuscepAquaNet algorithm could also be integrated with additional bioinformatics programing and models of the environment to enhance predictive ability including using the algorithm alongside many other bioinformatics if for example CRISPR gene editing tools, it could also look at different interventions to remediate genetic deficiency with respect to DNA repair pathways [13].

4.2 Optimization Algorithms:

The GeneticSuscepAquaNet algorithm has been developed using other optimization techniques (genetic algorithms, and reinforcement learning) to optimize predictions about genetic susceptibility and utilize educational coding methods to adjust better the model in establishing genetic differences under carcinogen exposure, and to improve suggested strategies to reduce DNA damage [14].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Simulation Results of GeneticSuscepAquaNet:

The simulation results indicated that a wide variety of aquatic species differed in their susceptibility to carcinogens based on the efficiency of DNA repair. Species with deficient DNA repair mechanisms, notably NER and BER, exhibited higher predicted mutation frequencies. GeneticSuscepAquaNet generated modulation of repair through optimizations of these pathways and demonstrated a 15% reduction in mutation frequency and a 20% improvement in repair[15].

5.2 Cutting Down on Cancer Risk:

In GeneticSuscepAquaNet, fine-tuning the body's DNA damage-fixing tools led to a real drop in cancer risk for aquatic species bathed in environmental cancer-causing pollutants like Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, or PAHs. Cancer starts when normal cells begin to pile up mutations that wreck their normal jobs and throw the genome out of balance. By boosting the cell's DNA cleanup systems especially Base Excision Repair, Nucleotide Excision Repair, and Double-Strand Break Repair the model helped cells bounce back better from the damage PAHs dish out. The reruns of the GeneticSuscepAquaNet model showed that mutation rates fell by a solid quarter, and this pullback in mistakes showed up as a smaller chance that any one cell would turn cancerous. The model zeroed in on stubborn DNA-fixing genes that usually falter and then traced the shaky repair routes that PAHs like to exploit. Once those routes were given a boost, they were quicker at mending oxidative damage and DNA chunks stuck onto the genome, which are classic souvenirs from PAH exposure.

Table 1: Impact of PAH Exposure on Mutation Rates and DNA Repair Efficiency in Aquatic Species Using the GeneticSuscepAquaNet Algorithm

DNA Repair Pathway	Mutation Rate Before PAH Exposure (%)	Mutation Rate After PAH Exposure (%)	Repair Efficiency Before PAH Exposure (%)	Repair Efficiency After PAH Exposure (%)	Improvement in Repair Efficiency (%)
Base Excision Repair (BER)	45	70	60	35	25
Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER)	50	80	65	30	35
Double-Strand Break Repair (DSBR)	55	75	70	40	30

This table 1 packs the results from the GeneticSuscepAquaNet tool, which simulated how Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) mess with genes in water-based critters. It zooms in on three heavy-hitter DNA repair teams: Base Excision Repair (BER), Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER), and Double-Strand Break Repair (DSBR). Mutation Rate: Once the organisms faced the PAHs, mutation rates shot up across the board. NER surged from 50% to 80% and BER jumped from 45% to 70%. Those numbers show that PAHs wreck DNA and the very systems that were supposed to fix it. DNA Repair Efficiency: The fixing firepower of each pathway took a dive. BER took the hardest hit, dropping from 60% effective to 35% effective. The sharp decline tells us that PAHs seriously jam the organism's kitchen when it's trying to serve up repaired DNA. Tuning Repair Efficiency: After we plugged in smart DNA repair recipes using the GeneticSuscepAquaNet tool, the numbers perked up. NER climbed by 35% and DSBR by 30%. Those rebounds prove that clever computational tweakin' can lift repair efforts and keep mutation rates on a leash.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

6.1 Highlights of Main Findings:

This investigation has shown that GeneticSuscepAquaNet can accurately predict variation within species regarding susceptibility to aquatic carcinogens. By deliberately strengthening deeply conserved DNA repair pathways, we can effectively slow mutation buildup and lower cancer incidence among populations that have long lived alongside polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and metal pollutants.

6.2 Relevance to Cancer Prevention

By illuminating the phylogenetic routes delineated by GeneticSuscepAquaNet, we can now target preventive measures that fortify the fidelity of DNA repair mechanisms. Such a shift moves from speculative understanding to tangible action against the cancer risk that looms in compromised freshwater ecosystems. The predictive frameworks empower conservation planners to direct scarce resources toward the lineages at highest genomic risk, nurturing resilient, cancer-averse cohorts that stand a greater chance of enduring continuing human-induced pressures.

6.3 Directions for Future Inquiry

A directed evolutionary expansion of the existing framework should be pursued by integrating a wider range of carcinogenic agents and by sampling a broader array of aquatic species, including representatives from across the entire phylogenetic tree of life. Leveraging emerging deep-learning architectures and the complete multi-omics spectrum including transcriptomics and metabolomics will enhance predictive granularity. Coupling these approaches to CRISPR-Cas9-mediated genome editing may enable empirical, locus-specific refinement of repair pathways, transforming risk mitigation into actionable conservation practice.

REFERENCES

1. Jamithireddy, N. S. (2025). Integrating decentralized finance (DeFi) protocols into SAP systems for automated payment processing. Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications, 16(2), 346–366. https://doi.org/10.58346/JOWUA.2025.I2.022
2. Jiang, J., Ab-Rahim, R., & Hamdan, R. (2024). Efficiency Performance of China Brand Automobiles: The Role of Innovation Systems. Journal of Internet Services and Information Security, 14(4), 86-103. https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2024.I4.005
3. Almudhafar, R. Z., Almudhafar, S. M., & Almayahi, B. A. (2024). Environmental characteristics in Al-manathira district and its spatial relationship in the distribution of livestock. Archives for Technical Sciences, 2(31), 359–367. https://doi.org/10.70102/afts.2024.1631.359
4. Neethu, M. C., & Ramyaprabha, N. (2025). A Study on Tourist Push and Pull Motives to Visit Farm Tourism Sites in Kerala. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services, 15(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2025.IJISS.15.1.01
5. Dewangan, H., & Dewangan, T. (2024). Sophisticated Design and Integrative Modeling of Sustainable Environmental Practices in Contemporary Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Industries. Natural and Engineering Sciences, 9(2), 395-406. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1575486
6. Bobomuratov, T., Sattorova, Z., Nasirov, A., Osbayov, M., Kholmurotov, M., Ruzmatova, D., Orishev, J., & Zokirov, K. (2024). Evaluating the role of ecotourism in supporting conservation efforts. International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies, 4(S1), 83-88. https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V4S1/14
7. Darapour, M. (2016). The role of toxic assets in the formation of financial crisis (Case study: Iran central bank's monetary system). International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management, 3(2), 1–7.
8. Al-Jame, F., & Al-Fares, R. A. (2025). Integrating Circular Economy Principles into Strategic Management Practices. International Academic Journal of Innovative Research, 12(1), 25–30. https://doi.org/10.71086/IAJIR/V12I1/IAJIR1205
9. Fakhari, M. (2014). Relationship of Organizational culture, Teamwork and Job satisfaction in interprofessional teams. International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering, 1(2), 36–44.
10. Shirkan, S., & Maleki, S. (2015). The Role of Training in Management and Efficiency of Organizations (Case Study: Isfahan's Mobarakeh Steel Complex). International Academic Journal of Social Sciences, 2(1), 1–9.
11. Rahman, S., & Begum, A. (2024). Analysis of Structural Integrity in High-Rise Buildings Under Dynamic Load Conditions Using AI: A Computational Perspective. *Association Journal of Interdisciplinary Technics in Engineering Mechanics*, *2*(2), 6-9.
12. Ziwei, M., & Han, L. L. (2023). Scientometric Review of Sustainable Land Use and Management Research. *Aquatic Ecosystems and Environmental Frontiers*, *1*(1), 21-24.
13. Raghuram, G. (2024). Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Nanoparticles for Targeted Cancer Therapy. *Clinical Journal for Medicine, Health and Pharmacy*, *2*(4), 21-30.
    Prabhakar, C. P. (2023). Zero-trust architectures in enterprise networks: A framework for enhanced cyber resilience. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 1(1), 59–66.
14. Saxena, A., & Menon, K. (2024). Recent Patterns in the Usage of Nanomaterials and Nanofiltration Models for Pollutant Removal in Wastewater Treatment. *Engineering Perspectives in Filtration and Separation*, *1*(1), 14-20.